PART II	1
SECTION 7	2
AIR DEFENSE	<u>3</u>
WARSAW PACT DEFENSE AGAINST AIR ATTACK	4
Basic Doctrine and Objectives	<u>5</u>
1. The objective of Warsaw Pact (WP) air defense is to	<u>6</u>
nullify or reduce the effectiveness of an enemy attack from	7
aircraft and missiles.(1) The WP doctrine for air defense	<u>8</u>
is part of a total strategy which seeks to destroy enemy	9
aircraft and missiles before they are launched, to divert	10
or destroy enemy aircraft and weapons while airborne, and to	11
nullify or reduce the effectiveness of air and missile attacks	12
through passive air defense measures. A basic WP concept for	<u>13</u>
air defenses includes a high concentration of firepower.	14
Air defenses are deployed around important target complexes	<u>15</u>
and across the most likely approaches to them.	16
General General	<u>17</u>
2. The Warsaw Pact stresses that coordinated use of all	18
types of armed forces is required to achieve victory and	19
regards strategic offensive and defensive forces as being of	20
prime importance. It devotes significant military expenditures	21
to the air defense of the homeland and of the armed forces.	22
The Soviet defense program also includes a limited active	23
defense against ballistic missiles (1).	24
3. Air defense of the USSR is assigned to PVO Strany	25
(Air Defense of the Homeland) (2) which is divided into	26
three known arms, each performing one of the key functions	<u>27</u>
of the air defense mission, i.e., air surveillance and	28
	29
 See Part II - Section 3: Antiballistic Missile Forces. See Glossary. 	<u>30</u>
(2) 300 3103341y.	31

DIA review(s) completed.

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-1

PART II - Section 7

1 <u>2</u> <u>3</u> 4 <u>5</u> <u>6</u>

control, fighter intercept, and surface-to-air missile	1
(SAM) operations. The antiballistic missile forces may	2
represent a fourth arm of PVO Strany. PVO Strany is one of	<u>3</u>
the five type forces of the Soviet armed forces and is	4
co-equal in status to the Air Forces, the Navy, the Ground	<u>5</u>
Forces, and the Strategic Rocket Troops.	<u>6</u>
4. The provision, maintenance, and operation of air	<u>7</u>
defense forces in individual Non-Soviet Warsaw Pact (NSWP)	8
countries are a national responsibility. However, the NSWP	<u>9</u>
national systems are closely coordinated and ultimately con-	10
trolled by a Soviet-dominated command structure to produce	11
a unified WP air defense organization. The NSWP systems thus	12
form an extension of the Soviet national air defense system.	<u>13</u>
5. Although the primary mission of the air defense	14
elements of the Soviet groups of forces in NSWP countries is	<u>15</u>
the defense of their field forces, they would coordinate with	16
the national NSWP systems in the conduct of the air defense	<u>17</u>
battle. During wartime, these same groups of forces would	18
be absorbed into Fronts with identical responsibility for air	<u>19</u>
defense of field forces. The Front air defenses would be	20
provided by aircraft from Frontal Aviation (FA) and the	21
ground-based air defense weapons along with their associated	22
command, control and warning networks of PVO Voysk (Soviet	23
Ground Force air defense elements) (1).	24
SOVIET HOMELAND AIR DEFENSE FORCES (2)	25
General General	26
6. The USSR is divided into 10 air defense districts	27
(ADD) (3), which are subdivided into 39 air defense zones	28
(ADZs). Most of the latter are further divided into sectors	29
(1) 800 (1000000	<u>30</u>
(1) See Glossary. (2) See Table A 13, Part III, Section 3. (3) Western nomenclature.	<u>31</u>

SECRET US 161/76

for air surveillance purposes. The ADZ is the lowest	<u>1</u>
echelon at which integrated control over all three	2
functional elements of the air defense forces is exercised.	<u>3</u>
Doctrine	4
7. Soviet air defense doctrine calls for the conduct of	<u>5</u>
a closely coordinated air battle using the combined strength	<u>6</u>
of PVO Strany interceptors and SAM forces, Frontal Aviation	7
(FA) Counterair units, ground force air defense elements,	<u>8</u>
and those naval units whose air defense systems can be	<u>9</u>
incorporated into the overall defense system. It appears the	<u>10</u>
Soviets rely primarily on SAMs for point defense of important	11
fixed targets. SAMs also are used to form barrier defenses	12
on approach routes to some important target complexes,	13
with fighters covering areas forward of the SAMs and gaps	14
between SAM defended areas. Available evidence points to a	<u>15</u>
centralized, tight control (at ADZ level) over all elements	16
which will rely on positive identification by radar and	<u>17</u>
Identification, Friend or Foe (IFF). In the event of system	18
degradation, the Soviets probably have procedures for	<u>19</u>
autonomous operation by SAM and air units.	20
Early Warning (EW) and Ground-Controlled Intercept (GCI)	21
8. The Soviet early warning and ground-controlled	22
intercept (EW/GCI) system is characterized by extensive	23
deployment of radar sites. There are 1,140 EW/GCI sites	24
containing 5,880 radars located in the USSR. Many sites	<u>25</u>
have several different types of radar sets operating	26
in many frequency bands and different IFF systems. At many	27
locations radars have been mounted on masts to improve low	28
altitude coverage. The radar data can be introduced into the	<u>29</u>
	30
	31

command and control network manually or by several data 1 transmission systems. In general, this deployment provides 2 a widespread, flexible, highly reliable ground based air 3 defense radar network. Nine MOSS aircraft, the Soviet Airborne Warning and 5 Control System (AWACS), provide limited surveillance and 6 warning, primarily over the northwestern approaches to the 7 USSR. The primary mission of the MOSS is to extend radar 8 coverage seaward in portions of the Barents Sea. The Soviet 9 Navy also operates radar surveillance ships in each of its 10 fleet areas. As yet, however, radar surveillance ship 11 deployment remains very limited in the northern approaches. 12 Nevertheless, the radar ships have the potential to function 13 as extensions of the land-based air surveillance system, 14 particularly against low-altitude targets. <u>15</u> 10. The PVO Strany and NSWP National Air Defense EW 16 systems provide dense, overlapping radar coverage against 17 aircraft at medium to high altitudes over almost all WP 25X58 territory. The Leningrad region and some of the approaches 19 DIA 20 through NSWP countries probably have effective cover 21 and others, some in the interior, large areas in the USSR almost certainly remain without 25X 22 DIA 25X5 effective cover 23 The Soviets have passive warning units which would be able to obtain bearings on active 24 airborne radar or jammers. 25 26 Soviet fighter ground control system radars and equipment have an all-weather capability against aircraft 27 attempting to penetrate at medium and high altitudes. Under 28 29 normal operating conditions, ground control and tracking at medium and high altitudes are assured -- for example 30 DIA 25X5 31 However, this

DIA review(s) completed.

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-4

PART II - Section 7

range is progressively reduced as aircraft penetrate at lower 1 2 altitudes, primarily because of line-of-sight limitations. The MOSS AWAC aircraft can be used to provide limited control 3 of interceptor fighters beyond the radar range of land-based 4 control systems. A more advanced system than MOSS will be 5 required to provide a true airborne intercept control capa-6 bility at all altitudes. In some coastal areas of the USSR 7 a shipborne fighter control procedure is also apparently 8 being developed. 9

12. During hostilities PVO Strany, FA, and PVO Voysk forces located in the USSR, will cooperate to provide an integrated, air defense under overall direction of PVO Strany. PVO Strany would support PVO Voysk during troop mobilization and movement until PVO Voysk leaves the USSR. Under conditions of strategic attack, FA counterair fighters and PVO Voysk located in the USSR undoubtedly would play a strategic air defense role in support of PVO Strany at the outset of war. The NSWP national air defense also would be coordinated by PVO Strany to maximize WP strategic air defense effectiveness. The national air defenses of the GDR, Czechoslovakia, and Poland are coordinated by a Soviet dominated WP staff at Minsk; those of Hungary, Romania, and Bulgaria by a similar body at Kiev.

Command and Control

13. The command and control network of PVO Strany

displays redundancy, flexibility, and reliability, and has

semiautomatic systems for weapons control and air surveillance

reporting. High Frequency (HF), Very-High Frequency (VHF),

Ultra-High Frequency (UHF), Super-High Frequency (SHF),

microwave radio links and landlines are used to provide air

defense system communications. Voice communications and

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

<u>17</u> 18

19

20

21

22 23

Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2

SECRET

a ground-to-air data link are used to control interceptors.	<u>1</u>
New data link systems have improved Soviet target handling	2
capability, as well as facilitating the command and control	3
of increased numbers and types of SAMs.	4
Weapons Systems (1)	5
14. General. PVO Strany forces are deployed to provide	6
an in-depth strategic defense of the USSR against air threats.	<u>7</u>
Penetrating aircraft would face a series of defenses once	<u>8</u>
detected. The initial engagement would likely be with	<u>9</u>
peripheral based interceptors or long range interceptors.	10
The penetrator would than face the SA-2, SA-3, SA-5, and	11
further interceptor aircraft. SA-1 terminal defenses are	12
located only around Moscow. The Soviets have the capability	13
to arm certain strategic SAMs with nuclear warheads and may	14
have already done so. If a period of tension preceded	15
hostilities, the Soviets probably would deploy some AAA	16
from storage.	17
15. <u>SAM</u> .	18
a. $SA-2$. An SA-2 barrier runs generally from the	<u>19</u>
Kola Peninsula along the western and southern borders of the	20
USSR into central Asia with deployment in the Baltic coastal	21
areas particularly dense. SA-2 point defenses have been	22
provided for most Soviet cities and industrial areas, naval	23
and port facilities, missile test ranges, strategic missile	24
sites, and airfields of Long Range Aviation (DA). It is	25
estimated that SA-2 deployment is complete, and a selective	26
phase-out of some units is taking place. Deployed SA-2	27
systems have been upgraded by improved electronics.	28

(1) See Table A 13, Part III -Section 3.

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-6

PART II - Section 7

29

30 31

b. SA-3. Apart from concentrations around Moscow	<u>1</u>
and Leningrad, deployment of SA-3 has been for defense of	2
important military installations and to form a partial	3
barrier along the Baltic coast between Leningrad and the	4
northern end of the Soviet-Polish border. NSWP SA-3 sites	<u>5</u>
continue this partial barrier along the Baltic coast through	<u>6</u>
the GDR. In addition, there has been extensive deployment	<u>7</u>
in the Black Sea area of the USSR. The number of ready	<u>8</u>
missiles at about 30 percent of the SA-3 sites have been	9
increased by replacing two rail launchers with four rail	10
launchers.	11
c. $SA-5$, SA-5 are deployed in barrier fashion to	12
encompass most of the heartland of the USSR including an SA-5	<u>13</u>
ring around Moscow. SA-5 are also deployed in eastern USSR.	14
16. Aircraft. APVO interceptors provide the first line	<u>15</u>
of air defense and would attempt to intercept enemy aircraft	16
prior to launch of air-to-surface missiles (ASMs). APVO units	<u>17</u>
also provide a defense in-depth behind SAM barriers, fill	18
gaps in SAM coverage, and augment point defense of special	<u>19</u>
target complexes. APVO units are concentrated most heavily	20
in the area west of the Urals and in the southern maritime	21

region of the Soviet Far East. All interceptors in APVO have

improvements have been noted in the Air-Intercept (AI) radars

an all-weather intercept capability. Some, however, are

FARMER and FRESCO whose capabilities are poor, but these

aircraft are gradually being replaced. In recent years,

effectiveness of existing Air-To-Air Missiles (AAMs) when

fired downward at targets at low altitude, the Soviets will

either have to continue to engage targets from below or in

near co-altitude intercepts, develop new missiles, or rely

employed by Soviet fighters. Because of the limited

22

23

24

<u>25</u>

26

27

28

29

<u>30</u>

Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2

<u> 29</u>

SECRET

almost exclusively on guns for fighter armament at very low altitudes. Air defense aircraft may operate singly or in groups, depending on the number of targets. Approach to the target is usually made under close GCI control and may be either a rear or head-on attack depending on the fighter involved.

Electronic Warfare

17. It is clear that the Soviets regard the use of electronic warfare, particularly electronic countermeasures (ECM), by an attacking force to be of great concern. The Soviets have developed a variety of electronic countercountermeasure (ECCM) techniques to counter this threat. ECCM measures include a proliferation of radars to provide frequency diversity across a wide region of the radar band. Soviet ECCM practices are also revealed in the design of their radars and by the training of air defense personnel to operate in an ECM environment. These steps serve to reduce the vulnerability of Soviet air defense radars to deliberate electronic interference but, nonetheless, Soviet air defense capabilities would be degraded by suitable ECM and other penetration aid techniques.

25X5

Defense Alert

18. On selected airfields, both in the Soviet Union and NSWP countries, some fighters and interceptors are held at varying states of readiness, depending for example, on the strategic importance of the area and the political climate at the time. On most strategic air defense airfields in the

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-8

PART II - Section 7

Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2

SECRET

25X5

peripheral areas, some aircraft are maintained at readiness	1
day and night. From the highest state of alert, i.e.,	2
cockpit readiness at the end of the runway, it is expected	DIA 3
that these aircraft	25X5 <u>4</u>
Combat air patrols	<u>5</u>
in border areas are flown regularly. In time of increased	<u>6</u>
tension, many aircraft would probably be dispensed.	7
19. SAM sites in general are believed held at a readiness	8
condition consistent with the availability of warning and the	9
defensive posture for the respective area. Thus, the	10
missiles would not normally be activated until alerted by	11
an early warning net.	<u>12</u>
Logistics and Maintenance	<u>13</u>
20. APVO home bases are believed to have substantial	14
amounts of on-base Petroleum, Oils, and Lubricants (POL)	<u>15</u>
storage, and additional POL may be found at APVO dispersal	<u>16</u>
airfields. However, the stored POL would have to be augmented	<u>17</u>
during prolonged hostilities. The very large off-base, air-	18
subordinated POL stocks located at central depots would be	<u>19</u>
apportioned through the Rear Services Organization. Most APVO	<u>20</u>
home bases have ammunition and air-to-air missile (AAM)	<u>21</u>
storage facilities. Known off-base stocks of both POL and	22
ammunition are generally located near rail lines, the primary	23
means of delivery to the airfields. Squadron-level aircraft	24
maintenance and repair are accomplished by elements of the	<u>25</u>
Aviation Services on the individual operational airfields.	<u>26</u>
Major overhaul is performed at centralized aircraft main-	<u>27</u>
tenance and repair facilities scattered throughout the USSR.	28
This system, which eliminates the need for extensive maintenance	<u>29</u>
facilities and highly specialized technical personnel and	<u>30</u>

SECRET US 161/76

equipment at each operational airfield, has been effective

<u>31</u>

in peacetime. However, the lack of specialized personnel	1
and equipment at the operational level would probably prove	2
detrimental to APVO maintenance capabilities in any sus-	<u>3</u>
tained conflict, although it affords flexibility of operations	4
at dispersal bases.	<u>5</u>
21. The WP air defense forces can draw on training	6

- aircraft and stored aircraft as a combat reserve(1). Some trainer aircraft assigned to APVO operational units could perform combat missions from the outset of hostilities. APVO could also draw on trainer aircraft in air defense pilot training schools for use as attrition fillers. Stored aircraft would not be immediately available, but could be brought into service after a short period of maintenance.
- 22. Little is known of PVO Strany SAM logistics and 14 maintenance procedures. However, sufficient missiles are 15 believed available, at site and depot storage, to support the 16 expected high SAM expenditure rates during an initial phase 17 of hostilities. 18 19

NSWP HOMELAND AIR DEFENSES

General

NSWP Homeland Air Defense forces generally follow 23. 21 the Soviet pattern of organization. The Homeland Air Defense 22 forces of GDR, Hungary, and Romania can be considered to 23 fill the strategic air defense role over their own territory 24 protecting key national targets. Bulgarian, Czechoslovak, 25 and Polish Homeland Air Defense forces would provide a 26 similar defense for their national territories. The latter 27 countries also have tactical air forces (see Part II -28 Section 6). The Homeland Air Defense forces are not expected 29 to deploy forward with the ground forces. 30 31

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-10

PART II - Section 7

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

⁽¹⁾ See Part II - Section 3, Table A 10.

DIA 25X5

DIA 25X5

Early Warning, Command and Control		1
24. The NSWP countries have some 170 EW(1) and 65 GCI		2
radar sites utilizing some of the most modern Soviet		3
equipment. Additionally, Soviet forces operate about 60		4
EW(2) and 40 GCI sites in NSWP countries. Coverage above		<u>5</u>
is complete along the major part of		<u>6</u>
the Allied Command Europe (ACE) border; however, in areas		7
of the GDR and along the Baltic coast there is a capability	_ DIA	8
for tracking of targets	25X5	<u>9</u>
of the radar. Over considerable areas of the NSWP where]	10
terrain is favorable, targets can be detected	25X5	<u>11</u>
	DΊΑ	12
Sightings of many new SQUAT EYE radars with NSWP forces and		<u>13</u>
additional ones with the Soviet forces suggest that a		14
concerted effort is being made by the WP to close gaps and		<u>15</u>
to consolidate the EW and surveillance capability. The		<u>16</u>
communications network includes VHF, UHF and landlines to		<u>17</u>
provide flexibility and reliability.		<u>18</u>
Weapons Systems		<u>19</u>
25. <u>SAM</u>		<u>20</u>
a. $SA-2$. The NSWP countries have deployed and		<u>21</u>
manned about 135 SA-2 sites. These sites are in defense of		22
major cities and important industrial areas, and are part of		<u>23</u>
the peripheral defense of the WP area as a whole. A new		<u>24</u>
GDR SA-2 regiment is under formation in the southwestern		<u>25</u>
area of the GDR. Additional SA-2 systems could be made		<u>26</u>
available to the NSWP countries as the Soviets replace their		<u>27</u>
SA-2 with newer systems.		28
·		<u>29</u>
(1) This figure does not include 145 SAM target acquisition		<u>30</u>
radars. (2) This figure does not include 110 SAM target acquisition radars.		31

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-11

PART II - Section 7

-Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2

SECRET

b. $SA-3$. The first SA-3 sites manned by NSWP	<u>1</u>
personnel were seen in Poland at the end of 1970. They were	2
located around Warsaw, where to date four battalions are	<u>3</u>
deployed. Eight new sites have been constructed and	4
occupied in Poland along the Baltic Sea coast and five have	<u>5</u>
been constructed in Czechoslovakia, two of which are opera-	<u>6</u>
tional. Four GDR-manned SA-3 sites are operational, one	<u>7</u>
is under construction, and more could be expected.	8
26. AAA. The NSWP forces commonly use AAA up to 57 mm,	<u>9</u>
mostly radar-controlled. AAA of a larger caliber is still in	10
the inventory of some of the national forces although on a	11
very limited scale. Some SAM sites, radar sites, and	12
airfields have been observed with AAA defense, and it is	13
presumed that this would be common practice in wartime.	14
27. Aircraft. NSWP air forces are composed pre-	<u>15</u>
dominantly of Soviet fighter aircraft types. They are,	<u>16</u>
in general, less well-equipped than their Soviet counterparts,	<u>17</u>
but the numbers of all-weather FISHBED interceptors are	18
steadily increasing. The NSWP nations have about 1,440	19
fighters of which about 1,130 are in homeland air defense	20
units and 310 are in counterair units. At present about	21
80 percent have an all-weather capability.	22
Logistics	23
28. Logistics practices in the NSWP forces are generally	24
patterned after that of the Soviet. Each air force has off-	25
base central POL and ammunition depots. SAM storage	26
facilities exist to supplement stocks on hand at the SAM	27
sites. Rail is the usual means of delivery, and most depots	28
are within close proximity to rail lines. Maintenance	<u>29</u>
organization and procedures are also similar to those of	30

the Soviets, with squadron-level maintenance performed on the

individual homebases and major overhaul accomplished at	1
centralized aircraft maintenance and repair facilities. A	2
problem likely to affect maintenance capabilities in all NSWP	<u>3</u>
forces is a shortage of some spare parts. When depleted,	4
many items must be ordered from the USSR where they are	<u>5</u>
tightly controlled and generally not readily forthcoming.	<u>6</u>
WARSAW PACT AIR DEFENSE OF FIELD FORCES	7
General	8
29. Warsaw Pact field forces will be organized in Fronts	<u>9</u>
during wartime. Air defense of the Front is the overall	10
responsibility of the Chief of the Air Defense Troops of	11
the Front (PVO Voysk). He also will be directly responsible	12
for ground force air defense systems. The counterair fighters	13
of tactical air armies (TAAs) will coordinate with and support	14
the Chief of the Air Defense Troops of the Front as needed	<u>15</u>
while remaining under the control of the TAA commander. The	<u>16</u>
NSWP tactical air defense forces have a structure similar to	<u>17</u>
that of the Soviets and are likely to function in the Front	18
structure as described above.	<u>19</u>
30. Soviet doctrine is followed by relying on in-depth	20
defenses, a variety of systems deployed in large numbers,	21
and a high concentration of fire. The Front air defense	22
resources tend to fall into four general categories:	23
a. Counterair fighters.	24
b. Highly mobile ground force systems.	25
c. Transportable ground force systems.	<u>26</u>
d. Mobile command and control, EW, and electronic	27
warfare equipment.	28

SECRET US 161/76

<u>29</u>

<u>30</u>

31

31. The combined effect of these tactical air defense

systems is a complex and effective threat to attacking

aircraft. The SAM/AAA system provides the WP ground

1 forces a mobile, virtually self-contained capability to defend against air attack. Frontal Aviation counterair fighters could provide in-depth defense (within GCI coverage), 3 conduct offensive counterair operations, fill gaps resulting 4 5 from the mobile nature of the conflict, and provide a 6 flexible reserve should ground force resources be depleted in combat. Ground based electronic warfare equipment 7 would be used to attack aircraft avionics and communications 8 equipment. The mobility, large numbers of systems, redundancy 9 of coverage and continuing improvement of the overall air 10 defense network will make the WP defenses increasingly 11 12 difficult to neutralize. The WP now can engage targets 13 at all altitudes, although the low altitude defenses are generally effective only for point targets. Nonetheless, 14 15 for the near term future, the tactical air defense system will likely continue to be susceptible to ECM, saturation 16 17 raids, standoff weapons, and nuclear effects. 18 Early Warning, Command and Control

together by a dense and overlapping system of early warning, acquisition, and GCI radars and command and control systems.

The radar systems give excellent coverage at medium and high altitudes but experience degradation at low altitudes.

However, in specific areas, mast-mounted systems, better siting, and improvements to the radars will enhance low altitude coverage. Almost all of the radar and command and control

32. The WP tactical air defense weapons are netted

systems are mounted on wheeled or tracked vehicles and can rapidly shift their operating areas. The WP employs HF, VHF, UHF, and microwave radio links in addition to landlines, to

29 30

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

31

SECRET US 161/76

II-7-14

PART II - Section 7

provide the communication required for the air defense system. In most areas, ground-to-air data link reporting has been introduced and the threat of saturation during low-altitude attack has compelled the WP to expend great effort to improve performance and capacity of their overall data link systems.

33. Under wartime conditions, there would probably be a variety of systems used to integrate and control SAM and air systems. Altitude layering, zonal restrictions, time separation, and IFF could all be used to facilitate weapon 10 use. The use of zonal restrictions in the area of the 11 forward edge of the battle area (FEBA) would provide relatively free fire zones for Soviet ground force air 12 13 defense weapons.

Weapons Systems

- General. The WP ground forces appear to be attempt-15 16 ing to develop and deploy an organic air defense system capable of defending the ground forces from air attack, even in the 17 18 absence of FA counterair fighter support. This system 19 provides area defense at medium altitudes, and provides 20 defense at low altitudes for point as well as some larger 21 areas due to overlap of point target coverage. The trend 22 is toward a mobile air defense system which can move at the 23 pace of battle.
- 24 SAMs . The transportable SA-2 probably will be 25 phased out eventually in favor of a mobile system. The 26 SA-4 has already replaced some tactical SA-2s in the GSFG, 27 and is doing the same in the USSR. The SA-2 is currently 28 deployed with each of the Soviet Groups of Forces in Hungary 29 and GDR. The SA-2 is used primarily for defense of relatively 30 static rear area installations, as it is not sufficiently 31

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

mobile to provide continuous support to maneuvering troops.	
The transportable SA-3 is deployed at a number of Soviet	
airfields in Poland, Hungary, and GDR.	

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 36. The SA-4 brigade is organized into three battalions with three batteries per battalion. The battery is the basic firing unit and consists of three transporter-erector-launchers (TELs) which carry two missiles each. The conversion of an SA-2 regiment to an SA-4 brigade provides three times the firepower with about a 15 percent increase in manpower. In addition to providing medium and high altitude defense of the FEBA, it will probably be retained for front and army-level area defense. The SA-4 is presently deployed with some Soviet forces, and initial equipment acquisition and possible deployment has been made to Czechoslovakia and East Germany. A new short nose variant of the SA-4 missile has been observed in the USSR and also in a Czechoslovakian parade.
- 18 37. The nucleus of the low altitude, ground-based air 19 defense system is based on the SA-6, SA-9, and ZSU-23-4 20 systems. These systems will be further augmented by 21 deployment of the SA-8. The large number of SA-7, AAA, 22 and hand-held weapons effectively supplement the other 23 weapons to provide a point target with a low altitude 24 screen having a rapid reaction capability. To defeat ECM 25 and low altitude tactics, the Soviets have introduced optical 26 tracking for most of these SAM/AAA systems.
- 38. The SA-6 has been observed with the Bulgarian, $\frac{27}{28}$ Czechoslovakian, Hungarian, and Polish ground forces, as well as the GSFG, CGF, and SGF. In addition to the proven combat performance of the SA-6, the system is extremely $\frac{30}{29}$ difficult to locate in a combat environment. The SA-6 can $\frac{31}{29}$

- 39. The mobile SA-8 is a self-propelled, low altitude, short range system mounted on a wheeled vehicle and fitted with a radar. It is now being deployed with Soviet ground forces in the USSR. It should further enhance low-to-medium altitude coverage for ground force point targets. The SA-8 is believed to be ultimately the replacement for the 57mm S-60 AAA gun in divisions which do not receive the SA-6.
- 40. The SA-9 is a low altitude system mounted on the armored amphibious reconnaissance vehicle BRDM-2. It is now deployed with all the groups of forces, some Soviet naval infantry units, the Soviet airborne forces, Polish units and probably Czech and GDR units. Four IR seeking missiles are pod mounted on top of the vehicle. Vehicle mounting offers advantages in command and control, chemical-biological-radiological (CBR) protection for the crew and the coordination of fire. Four SA-9 and four ZSU 23-4 are employed within a mixed missile/gun battery at tank and motorized rifle regiment level. Some SA-9 are located at Soviet airfields in Hungary, in conjunction with the SA-3 and may be used for airfield defense or training.
- 41. The SA-7 is a man-portable SAM which has been most effective against targets with a speed of less than 700 km/hr and at altitudes below 3,000 meters. During the October Middle East War, the SA-7 made close-in attacks against front line troops hazardous. The SA-7 is being widely distributed in WP ground force maneuver elements.

<u>6</u>

<u>15</u>

<u>29</u>

42. AAA. AAA systems complement the SAM weapons,	
particularly in low altitude air defense. The WP relies	
heavily on AAA up to 57mm, much of which is radar-controlled,	
for air defense of ground forces (1). The towed 57mm	
S-60 AAA gun is still the standard divisional air defense	
weapon although it is being replaced by the SA-6 or the SA-8	
in some Soviet divisions. The proliferation of the combat	
proven ZSU-23-4 indicates a continuing Soviet interest in	
improving their AAA capability. Many WP armored fighting	
vehicles (AFV) are fitted with AA machine guns. It is	
estimated that the WP and particularly the Soviets maintain	
a significant stock of various types of AAA weapons in depots	
or field storage. In addition, provision is made for AAA	
defense of some static installations, particularly airfields	
and SAM sites. Also, the WP soldier is trained to utilize	
his individual or crew-served weapon for air defense. Taken	
together, these large and small caliber AA weapons establish	
a density of firepower which makes low-altitude operations	
over the FEBA difficult. In addition to downing aircraft,	
they have the effect of forcing them into the more lethal	
field of the SAMs and fighter aircraft.	
43. Aircraft. (See Part II - Section 6) The counterair	
fighters of the Soviet Tactical Air Armies and the NSWP	
Tactical Air Forces would be used for air defense or field	
forgos For this mission the air army would use aircraft	

forces. For this mission the air army would use for attacks on enemy airfields, for engagements of enemy aircraft as far forward as possible, and where necessary for the immediate defense of ground forces. The goal, in any event, will be the achievement of at least local air superiority

(1) See Part II - Section 3.

-Approved For Release 2002/09/05: CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2 SECRET

1

2

<u>3</u>

4

<u>5</u>

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

over the battlefield. The FISHBED and increasing numbers of FLOGGER are the primary counterair fighters in the WP. The FISHBED probably does not have a highly effective intercept capability at low altitudes (below 500m). However, the FLOGGER is believed to have a limited capability to detect, track, and engage targets flying below the interceptor altitude.

Electronic Warfare

44. The WP will use electronic warfare as an integral part of its tactical air defense system. The WP has various types of vehicle-mounted electronic warfare equipment. This includes noise and deception jamming systems as well as intelligence collection systems for electronic detection and other purposes. Active and passive jammers would be used to interfere with attacking aircraft avionics, particularly radars, and communication systems. The equipment is likely to be widely deployed and would be used in defense of all important targets. The large numbers of radar, frequency diversity, and operator training combine to give the WP a certain inherent ECCM capability. Despite this, the WP is believed to be susceptible to sophisticated ECM operations. Logistics

23 45. The WP forces probably have stores of SAMs and 24 AAA ammunition at storage sites in the NSWP countries. These 25 stores are probably sufficient to sustain WP forces during 26 the initial stages of a conflict even though an extremely 27 high expenditure rate of munitions is expected. Resupply 28 under combat conditions from depot stocks could be done by 29 helicopters or ground vehicles. SAM logistics could become 30 a problem if the period of hostilities is prolonged or 31 involves rapid troop movements. Additional stocks are

available in the USSR. Large amounts of AAA are in storage	1
and in military depots in the USSR.	2
46. Refer to Part II - Section 6, Air Forces, for	3
Frontal Aviation logistics.	4
TRAINING	5
47. APVO operates two training schools which provide a	6
general engineering education and military training, as well	7
as pilot training, during the 4-year curriculum. Newly	<u>8</u>
graduated pilots are assigned to operational units for	9
further training. Unit training is characterized by	10
repetitive missions with little or no deviation from a	13
standard syllabus. , Strict discipline is enforced, which	12
insures meeting of training requirements but allows little	13
in the way of pilot initiative, realistic aerial combat	14
maneuvers, and individual target acquisition without close	15
ground radar control. Live air-to-air missile firing is	16
regularly practiced. See Part II - Section 6 for further	17
details of WP pilot training.	18
48. The ground force air defense personnel train	19
extensively in all aspects of the air defense problem. They	20
continually exercise against simulated and real targets with	21
emphasis on an ECM/ECCM environment. Mobility and all-weather	22
training are included in their training syllabus which has	23
generally resulted in a high level of readiness for these	24

PASSIVE DEFENSES

forces.

<u>27</u> 49. An important part of the WP strategic and tactical 28 air defense is passive defensive systems and measures. These 29 include hardening, dispersal, and use of dummy 30 equipment. Camouflage can be carried out by laying down 31 smokescreens, setting up corner reflectors to confuse aircraft

SECRET US 161/76

<u>25</u>

radar systems, and using various deceptive paints and covers. Furthermore, SAM sites no longer operational can be used for deception.

1 2

<u>3</u>

4

5

6

7

8

9

31

50. Hardened aircraft shelters have been provided at almost half of the APVO bases particularly those located along the periphery of the Soviet Union. The PVO interceptor force, as a whole, however, is not as extensively protected at bases in the interior as their counterparts in FA. Those interior bases without shelters in APVO are equipped with open aircraft revetments. The apparent lack of hardened 10 shelters at APVO bases, however, is explained in part by 11 expected APVO dispersal tactics and the fact that many bases 12 are beyond the range of enemy tactical aircraft. In all 13 other respects, hardened aircraft shelters for APVO are not 14 markedly different from those of FA discussed in Section 6. 15 As in FA, construction programs for hardening of POL storage, 16 command and control, and other facilities are continuing. 17 18 STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES

51. The great strength of WP strategic and tactical air 19 20 defenses lies in the capability and number of systems deployed, the commonality of equipment, general standardization of 21 operational procedures, and the effectiveness of the newer 22 systems. Within the USSR, aircraft and missiles are deployed 23 to defend against the entire air threat. However, the PVO 24 Strany system has no effective defense against sophisticated 25 26 ASMs, such as SRAM, once the ASM is launched. The FOXBAT and 27 SA-5 may have some capability against HOUND DOG type ASMs 28 flying at medium to high altitudes. Other SAM systems may 29 have a marginal capability under favorable circumstances <u>30</u> against ASM of the HOUND DOG type.

- 52. In a defense environment not subject to defense suppression, current APVO fighters and SAMs are capable of inflicting heavy losses on aircraft at medium and high altitudes. Except at certain point defended targets, defenses in the USSR will not be effective against aircraft operating at low and very low altitudes. The PVO Strany system in most areas cannot provide continuous low-altitude tracking. Soviet reliance on close GCI and current aircraft weapon system limitations also restrict interceptor defense capabilities at low altitudes.
- 53. The NSWP air defense forces provide an additional barrier to the West, which provides an additional depth to defense of the USSR. However, NSWP national air defense forces, in general, are less effective than those of the Soviets.
- 54. The WP has a significant ECCM capability by virtue of the large number and variety of radars deployed. Nonetheless, the system is believed to be vulnerable to ECM, saturation attacks, and standoff weapons, particularly at night or in conditions of bad visibility.
- 55. The air defense system protecting the ground forces presents an effective threat at all tactical altitudes: defense against aircraft at low-altitude is limited to point targets except where defenses overlap. The WP forces in the NSWP countries, particularly those facing the NATO Central Region, have a virtually complete medium to high altitude air defense envelope as well as large areas of low-altitude coverage due to the overlap of point target coverages. The mobility and concentration of firepower available to the Soviet ground forces, and to a lesser extent the NSWP ground forces, provide a dense and flexible air defense cover. This

<u>5</u>

<u>7</u>

<u>27</u>

<u>30</u>

system is capable of a massive rate of fire, particularly at low and medium altitudes. The system may be susceptible to logistics problems and possible local weapon exhaustion due to the anticipated high rates of fire, especially during mobile warfare. In the NSWP countries, the density of air movement is likely to be extremely high, and in the case of a fluid ground situation, the successful integration of the various national and Soviet air and ground defense elements will be difficult. It probably will be approached by strictly separating fighter and ground based air defense on the basis of established engagement zones. Although not commonly exercised, procedures for autonomous operation by SAM and air units exist. If the Soviets attempt to maintain a strong centralized control of the tactical air battle, their overall effectiveness will probably suffer. FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS AND TRENDS

PVO Strany

18 56. PVO Strany will probably emphasize qualitative 19 improvements during the next 5 years:

a. Soviet air surveillance and control forces will 20 21 continue to have good detection and tracking capabilities 22 against aircraft at medium and high altitudes. It is likely 23 that the Soviets will continue to improve radars and techniques 24 specifically designed to counter low-altitude penetration. 25 Although additional deployment of radars on towers will 26 enhance coverage in limited areas, little Soviet improvement 27 in ground-based continuous tracking capability at low altitude 28 for large areas of the USSR is foreseen in the near future. 29 Deployment of new AWACS aircraft with a look-down capability 30 over-land represents the best potential solution for large 31 area coverage and tracking, but this development is unlikely

1 2

3

4

5

<u>6</u>

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

until the early 1980s. It is likely that the Soviet will attempt to force attackers to fly at higher altitudes by using ECM against their terrain-avoidance radars. It is reasonable to expect further Soviet attempts to improve the capacity, flexibility, and security of air defense command and control communications, thereby permitting improved coordination of interceptor and SAM operations. Continued efforts to harden command and control facilities at regional headquarters and at operational sites are also expected.

- b. Problems of intercepting and destroying strategic attack aircraft at low altitudes will remain formidable and are unlikely to be overcome in the near future. Despite probable improvements to SAM systems, their limited range at very low altitudes would require such a large number of systems as to preclude their deployment as area defense systems, except in very special circumstances. Given the limited capability of the SA-1 system, it will probably be phased out by the early 1980s.
- 19 c. PVO Strany is currently seeking advances in the 20 low-altitude capability of its current interceptor force. 21 Modernization of the fighter defenses, e.g., the increasing 22 use of automation and fighters equipped with mixed air-to-air 23 missiles (AAM), has improved effectiveness at medium and 24 high altitudes, but this has not solved the problem at low 25 altitude. The interceptor force effectiveness will improve 26 as more FLAGON E are deployed and possibly new aircraft are 27 added to APVO. FLOGGER, believed to have a limited capability 28 to detect, track, and engage targets flying below the inter-29 ceptor altitude, is a candidate as a new low-altitude APVO 30 interceptor and could begin deployment in 1976. A variant of some other existing aircraft is also possible during the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

late 1970s. AAM improvements are expected; it also is	1
likely that the Soviets will develop a look-down AI radar	2
and compatible shoot-down AAM which are better than the	3
capability attributed to FLOGGER. APVO interceptor strength	4
has declined gradually over the years, and this trend is	<u>5</u>
expected to continue as obsolescent aircraft are replaced	<u>6</u>
by smaller numbers of more capable aircraft.	<u>7</u>
d. Emphasis will remain on destruction of ASM	8
carriers before weapon launch as no effective defense against	<u>9</u>
sophisticated ASMs in flight is likely in the near future.	10
e. It is not likely that the Soviets will be able	11
to develop and deploy any exotic new weapons, such as a	12
laser weapon capable of downing an aircraft, during the	13
next 5 years. The Soviets are conducting research on OHD	14
radars which, if successful, may be able to provide a	<u>15</u>
significant increase in early warning time against aircraft	<u>16</u>
approaching at any altitude several hundred miles from the	<u>17</u>
Soviet border.(1)	18
NSWP Homeland Air Defense	<u>19</u>
57. The NSWP National Air Defense forces are expected	20
to improve qualitatively by addition of new aircraft, e.g.,	21
late model FISHBED and probably FLOGGER, additional modern SAMs,	22
as well as upgraded and new radar systems. It is also	23
expected that command and control systems and procedures	24
will evolve toward improved integration of NSWP and Soviet	<u>25</u>
strategic defense forces.	<u>26</u>
WP Air Defense of the Field Forces	27
58. WP air defense of the field forces will likely	<u>28</u>
continue to be based on a dual system of aircraft and ground-	29
based equipment. The trend of quantitative and qualitative	30

SECRET US 161/76

(1) See Part II - Section 3.

II-7-25 PART II - Section 7

Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2

SECRET

DIA **DIA** 25X5 improvement of these forces is expected to continue. Emphasis will likely be placed on ECCM, mobility, and firepower. The SA-8 is expected to further increase the WP mobile low altitude defense capabilities probably achieving a minimum capability

Further SA-4, SA-6, SA-7,

<u>1</u>

<u>15</u>

SA-8, SA-9, ZSU-23-4, and mobile radar system deployments are expected in Soviet forces, pointing toward a completely mobile air defense. The NSWP forces will receive limited numbers of these systems also. The counterair capabilities of the Frontal Aviation fighter force will also improve as FLOGGER deployment continues. A new Soviet counterair aircraft could enter service in the early 1980s. In tactical as strategic, increasing use of ECM against bombing and terrain-avoidance radars is likely. Refined electro-optical sighting aids will continue to be deployed for ECCM purposes, and a laser target designator could be used in the late 1970s to guide semiactive, homing-type missiles.

OTHER EUROPEAN COMMUNIST AIR DEFENSES

Albania

59. Fighter defense is provided by approximately 100 aircraft (FAGOT/FRESCO/FARMER/FISHBED). Chinese military aid, which has included the delivery of both FARMER and FISHBED-type aircraft as well as training personnel, has improved the air defense capability of this force. Many of the aircraft originally supplied by the USSR are old and the serviceability rate is estimated to be poor. The EW and control function is provided by older generation Soviet equipment whose capability is thought to be low due to age and shortages of spares. Presently, there are four operational SA-2 sites in Albania even though eight systems were provided,

SECRET US 161/76

-26 PART II - Section 7

three by the USSR and five by the Peoples Republic of China.

- the Soviet pattern, is considered to be good. Of about 120 air defense aircraft, essentially of Soviet origin, 75 have all-weather capability (FISHBED D/F/Jx), the remainder being day fighters. EW and control are achieved by using Soviet radars, French radars, and a progressively decreasing number of obsolescent United States radars.
- 61. There are seven operational SA-2 sites with one additional site under construction. At least four SA-3 battalions, equipped with four rail launchers, have recently been observed with at least two battalions (sites) being deployed along the northern border opposite Trieste. Additionally, SA-6 and SA-7 SAMs have been introduced into the ground forces. Coordination of these air defenses is generally effective, and continuous efforts are being made towards improvement of deficiencies.

PART III

SECTION 1

GROUND FORCES TABLES

TABLE G 1 DISTRIBUTION OF SOVIET MAJOR LINE UNITS BY CATEGORY AND TYPE (MID-1976)

TABLE G 2 DISTRIBUTION OF NSWP MAJOR LINE UNITS BY CATEGORY AND TYPE (MID-1976)

DISTRIBUTION OF SOVIET MAJOR LINE UNITS BY CATECORY AND TYPE (MID-1976) (1)

	SINTOT MOTSTATO	DIVISION IUIALS	0,7	71	n =	+	6		6	= :		٥	٥	12	- 1 u	ט כ		u	٥	0	~	, c		7	٠ ١٤) C	2 5	2	168
	4LS	1	ı			'	4		4	<u></u> ر	2	-	+	α	 o u	٠ ٧	-	0	7 7	-	٠	1 "	,	~	٦ ٣) 4	- 00	,	19
	CATEGORY TOTALS	_		ı			2		4	<u>_</u>		~	,	cr:) (~	- ,-	-	٣	າແ		_	- 1		~	۰ د	10	ıœ		51
	CATE		2,0	7 1 L	0.4	٠	က		_	ო -	-	_	-	-	٠ ،	_	-	_	- 1		1	,		2		4	- 10	2	56
ING	IONS		ı				,		_	•	\ \	,		,			+	1			ı	-		,		ı	1	,	-
ATPROPRI	DIVISIONS CAT A CAT						_		-	- 1				_	. 1			_	٠,		,	,			,	,			7
1 1	CAT C				ı		4		m i	~	,	7		c o	2	4		~	4		2	m		7	m	4	00	-	09
MOTORIZED RIEL	DIVISIONS CAT B						-		-	וער	,	c		က	ı							ı		m	_	_	9		56
MOTO	CAT A	۶	2 1	m	5 2		2		١,	1				ı	•	,		,			1	ı		_	,	ო	4	-	27
	VS CAT C		1		ı		-					,		,	ı	•				-	'	,		'	-	,		1	-
	TANK DIVISIONS A CAT B C	:	,	,	•		-	•	יו כיי	- 2					- -	ı		7	9		_			,				-	25
	CAT A	2	. ~	2	2		-		٠.			•		,	,	,		•	•		,	,		-	,	_	_	-	22
GROUPS OF FORCES	AND MILITARY DISTRICTS	GDR (GSFG)	POLAND (NGF)	CZECHOSLOVAKIA (CGF)	HUNGARY (SGF)	NORTHWESTERN USSR:	LENINGRAD MD	MESTERN USSR:	BEI ODISCIAN AD	CARPATHIAN MD	SOUTHWESTERN USSR:	ODESSA MD	SOUTHERN USSR:	TRANSCAUCASUS MD	NORTH CAUCASUS MD	TURKESTAN MD	WEST CENTRAL USSR:	MOSCOW MD	KIEV MD	EAST CENTRAL USSR:	URAL MD	VOLGA MD	EASTERN USSR:	CENTRAL ASIAN MD	SIBERIAN MD	TRANSBAIKAL MD	FAR EAST MD	MONGOL IA	TOTALS

(1) For details of Naval Infantry see Part II - Section 5.

SECRET US 161/76

111-1-2

PART III - Section 1

DISTRIBUTION OF NSWP MAJOR LINE UNITS BY CATEGORY AND TYPE (MID-1976) (1)

	TANK	TANK DIVISIONS	SN(MOT	MOTORIZED RIFLE DIVISIONS	TFLE IS	AB	SLD	MTN	CA	DIV/BDE CATEGORY TOTALS	TALS	DIV/BDE TOTAL	PERSONNEL TOTALS
	CAT A	CAT A CAT B	CAT C	CAT A	CAT B	CAT C				CAT A	CAT B	CAT C		
GDR	2	•	ı	4	ı	ı	ı	1	ı	9	ı	ı	9	
POLAND	2	1	1	က	က	7	П	н	1	10	က	7	15	•
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	m	ı	7	4	ı	1	ı	ı	i	7	1	m	10	
HUNGARY	Н	ı	ı	e	ı	7	ı	ı	ľ	4	ı	7	9	<u> </u>
ROMANIA	7	ı	ı	'n	ო	1	ı	1	2	7+ 2 Bdes	er	1	10+ 2 Bdes	
BULGARIA	5 Bdes	ı	ı	5	н	2	1	ı	1	5+ 5 Bdes	н	2	8+ 5 Bdes	
TOTALS	13+ 5 Bdes	ı	2	24	7	7	1	1	2	39 4 7 Bdes	7	6	55+ 7 Bdes	

(1) For details of amphibious units see Part II - Section 5

SECRET US 161/76

III-1-3

PART III - Section 1

PART III

SECTION 2

NAVAL FORCES TABLES

Table N 1	Estimated OOB of Soviet Submarines by Fleets (Mid-1976 to Mid-1978) and Total OOB (Mid-1981 and Mid-1985)
Table N 2	Estimated OOB of Soviet Submarine Support Ships by Fleets (Mid-1976)
Table N 3	Estimated OOB of Soviet Surface Combatants by Fleets (Mid-1976 to Mid-1978) and Total OOB (Mid-1981 and Mid-1985).
Table N 4	Estimated AOB of Soviet Naval Aviation by Fleets (Mid-1976 and Mid-1979) and Total AOB (Mid-1982 and Mid-1985)
Table N 5	Estimated OOB of Non-Soviet Warsaw Pact Navies by Countries (Mid-1976)
Table N 6	Estimated AOB of Non-Soviet Warsaw Pact Navies by Countries (Mid-1976)
Table N 7	Estimated OOB of Warsaw Pact Amphibious Ships, Landing Craft, and Air Cushion Vehicles by Fleets/ Countries (Mid-1976)
Table N 8	Merchant Shipping of the Warsaw Pact - 1 Jan 1976
Table N 9	Fishing Fleets of the Warsaw Pact - 1 Jan 1976
Table N 10	Estimated Naval Hydrographic/Oceanographic Fleets of the Warsaw Pact (Mid-1976)

SECRET US 161/76

TABLE N 1

ESTIMATED 00B OF SOVIET SUBMARINES BY FLEETS (MID-1976 TO MID-1978) AND TOTAL 00B (MID-1981 AND MID-1985) (Additional submarines estimated to be in reserve are shown in parenthesis)

001 10		No.		Mid-1976	976				Mid-1977	777				Mid-1978	78			Mid-1981	Mid-1985
CLASS	TYPE	MISSILE NOR TUBES	NOR	BAL	BL.A	PAC	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL		TOTAL
BALLISTIC MISSILE NUCLEAR							<u> </u>												
DELTA OR SUCCESSOR	SSBN	12/16(1)15	15	ı	ı	ന	18	19		í	5	24	19	ı	ı	7	26		<u>~</u>
YANKEE	SSBN	16	22	1	1	12	34	22	ı	1	12	34	22	ı	ı	12	34)62(11))62(11)
HOTEL II	SSBN	m	4	ı	ı	2	9		1	ı	1	ļ.	ı	ı	ı	1	ı	ı	1
HOTEL III	SSBN	9	1	ı	ı	ı		Н	1	ı	ı	1	7	ı	ı	ı	Η	н	н
TOTAL	SSBN		42	1	-	17	7 6	42	1		17	59	42			19	61	63(11)	63(11)
BALLISTIC MISSILE DIESEL												-							
GOLF I	SSB	m	2	1	i	5	7	2	1	ı	2	7	2	ı	ı	'n	7	7	7
GOLF II OR OTHER CONVERSIONS	SSB	m	∞	ı	1	7	15	∞	1	1	7	15	œ	ı	ı	7	15	15	5
TOTAL	SSB		10	ı	,	12	22 1	10			12	22	10		.	12	22	22	22
CRUISE MISSILE NUCLEAR																			
PAPA OR NEW CLASS	SSGN	8/UNK	П	1	ı	t	н	2	1	1	ı	2	4	1	ı	1	4	10	18
CHARLIE I	SSGN	∞	∞	ı	ı	9	11	7	ı	1	7	11	9	1	1	5	11	7	11
CHARLIE II	SSGN	∞	, E		ſ	1	m	4	ı	1	ı	4	2	ı	ı	1	5	. 7	7
ECHO II	SSGN	80	15	ı	ı	14	29 1	15	ı	-	14	29	15	ı	ı	14	29	29	25(4)
TOTAL	SSGN		27	,	1	17	44 2	27	,	-	18	45	27		,	19	94	59	(4)

SECRET US 161/76

III-2-2

PART III - Section 2

(continued)
\vdash
z
TABLE

T		•							71.	ABLE N	TABLE N l (continued)	inued)							
	24 10		No.		-	Mid-1976				Mid-1977	977			Mil	Mid-1978			Mid-198	Mid-1981 Mid-1985
	CCCTO	LIFE	TUBES	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL NOR	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC T	TOTAL	NOR BAL	L BLA	A PAC	TOTAL	 	TOTAL
	CRUISE MISSILE DIESEL																		
	JULIETT	SSG	4	12	ı	ı	4	16	12	ı	1	4	16 1	12 -	1	7	16	16	10(6)
	WHISKEY-CONV (LONG BIN)	SSG	4	1	(1)	1(1)	(1)	2(3)	ŀ	(2)	1(1)	(1)	1(4)	- (2)	1(1)	(E)	1(4)	(2)	, ,
	WHISKEY-CONV (TWIN CYL)	SSG	2	1	1	1(1)	1(1)	2(2)	ı	ı	1(1)	(2)	1(3)	1	(2)	(£)	(3)	(2)	1
	TOTAL	SSG		12	(1)	2(2)	5(2)	20(5)	12	(2)	2(2) 4(3)		18(7) 1	12 (2)	1(3)	4(2)	(7)/1	1	10(6)
TTI	ATTACK NUCLEAR			popular est suprem															
-2-3	ALFA	SSN		<u>س</u>	ı	ı	ı	m	4	ı	ı	1	- 7	7	1	ı	4	4	4
>	VICTOR I OR SUCCESSOR	SSN		13	ı	ı	4	17	13	. 1	9		19 1	14 -	ı	∞	22	31	32
	VICTOR II	SSN		m	ı	ı	1	ĸ	7	ı	'		4	5	ı	ŀ	٠,	00	00
	NEW CLASS	SSN		1	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	1	i			!	ı	1	1) <u>-</u>
	NOVEMBER	SSN	Printer - Trades (Marin Sal	6		ı	4	13	6	ı	- 4		13	6	ı	7	13	11(2)	3(10)
ART	ECHO	SSN		1 8	1	- 1	5		1		- 5			ı	1	5	'n	ıΛ	4(1)
	101817	Nicc		87	'		13	14	30	1	- 15		45 32	- 2	ı	17	64	60(2)	62(11)
	ATTACK, LONG RANGE, DIESEL																		
	TANGO OR SUCCESSOR	SS		m	ı	2	i	2	5	ı	2		7	ı 9	m	ı	9,	13-15	20
	FOXTROT (iii)	SS		38	2	ı	20	09	38	2	- 20		96	36(2) 2	t	20	58(2)	49	36
	ZULU (iii)	SX		4(8)	2(2)		4(5)	10(15)2(10)1(3)	(10)		- 1		5(20) (10) (3)	(3)	i	(7)	-(20)		
	TOTAL LUNG KANGE	SS.		45(8)	(2)	7	24(5)	75(15)45(10)3(3)	45(10)		2 22(7)		(20) 4;	72(20) 42(12)2(3)	3) 3	20(7)	67(22)) 52-54(10)	95 (
						-													

TABLE N 1 (concluded)

	-	-		Mid-1976	.0			Mid-1977	11		r		M14-1978			M: 4-1981	Mid-1981Mid-1985
CLASS	TYPE MISSILE NOR TUBES	ILE N	TOR BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL NOR	!	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR BA	BAL BLA	A PAC	TOTAL		TOTAL
ATTACK, MEDIUM RANGE, DIESEL																	
BRAVO	SS		ı	2	1	4	1	1	2	_	4	,		1	4	4	4
ROMEO (111) (1v)	SS	9	6(2) 2	2	ı	10(2) 6(2)	6(2) 2			Η.	10(2)	9	(1) (1)	1	8(4)	2(8)	ı
	SS	70			10/	40/			5/ 5	5/ 2/		2/ 2/	2/ 3/	3/	10/	(15)	ı
TOTAL MEDIUM RANGE	SS	110	17/ 12/ (12) (25)	14/	(15)	54/(67)	$\frac{12/}{7}$ (12)	(20)	1		34/	1	$\frac{3}{3}$, $\frac{6}{6}$, (21) (16)	1	1	6(23)	4
ATTACK, SHORT RANGE, DIESEL																	
QUEBEC (iv)	.	_ !	(5)	(4)	I	(6)	1	(5)	- (4)		(6)	- (5)	(4)	1	(6)	ı	
TOTAL NUCLEAR POWERED		6_	- 16	 	47	144	100		1	50 150		104	1	55	159	180(2)	186(15)
TOTAL DIESEL POWERED		- 	84/ 17/ (20) (33)	17/ 18/ (33) (21)	52/ (22)	171/	79/	10/ 1	13/ 4 (21) (2	44/ 146/ (25) (98)	3)	73/5/(24) (31)	/ 10/ 1) (23)	(48)	128/	96-98/	92(6)
GRAND TOTAL		H O	181/ 17/ (20) (33	17/ 18/ (33) (21)	99/	315/	179/ 10/ (22)(30)	l		_	3 6	177/ 5		95/	287/	"	278/

The total of 62 SSBN is It is estimated that a second DELTA successor class may have about 20 missile tubes. The composition of the SLBM force in the 1980s cannot be estimated with confidence. It is estimated that a second DELTA successor class may (£)

based upon the 1972 SAL Interim Agreement limits.

The total number of FOXTROT, ZULU, and ROMEO class submarines for the Northern and Baltic fleets represents an estimated average OOB. The actual disposition of units may vary slightly, from time to time, due to interfleet transfers for refit/overhaul.

The rate at which ROMEO, WHISKEY, and QUEBEC classes will be phased out is uncertain. (iii)

(iv)

TABLE N 2

ESTIMATED OOB OF SOVIET SUBMARINE SUPPORT SHIPS BY FLEETS (MID-1976)

CLASS	TYPE	NOR.	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL
SUBMARINE TENDERS MOD DNEPR UGRA DON DNEPR WM BAUER TOTAL	AS AS AS AS AS	1 4 2 2 2 2	- 2 - - - 2	2 - 2	1 1 2 1 -	2 7 6 3 2 20
SMALL SUBMARINE TE TOMBA ATREK MISCELLANEOUS TOTAL	ASL ASL ASL ASL ASL	1 3 2 6	-	- - - -	2 - 2	1 5 2 8
REPAIR SHIPS (i) AMUR OSKOL TOVDA MISCELLANEOUS TOTAL	AR AR AR AR AR	5 4 1 1	3 2 5 5	3 3 - 2 8	3 3 - 1 7	14 12 1 4 31
SUBMARINE RESCUE S NEPA PRUT EX-T-58 TOTAL	HIPS ASR ASR ASR ASR	1 2 2 5	1 6 1 7	- 3 4 7	- 3 1 4	1 9 13 23
MISSILE SUPPORT SH MP-6 AMGA LAMA TOTAL	IPS AEM AEM AEM AEM	1 1 2	- 1	-	2 - 2 4	3 1 4 8

⁽i) Repair ships are not employed exclusively as submarine support ships, but they have this capability.

SECRET US 161/76

PART III - Section 2

T SURFACE COMBATANTS BY FLEETS (MID-1976 TO MID-1978) AND TOTAL OOB (MID-1981 AND MID-1985)

(Black Sea OOB includes the Caspian Flotilla)
(additional ships estimated to be in reserve are shown in parenthesis) TABLE N 3 ESTIMATED OOB OF SOVIET SURFACE COMBATANTS

		No.		701-F#	4			Mit	Mid-1977			-	Mid-	Mid-1978			Mid-1981 Mid-1985	Mid-1985
CLASS	TYPE	LNCHRS. SSM SAM	NOR	BAL	V.	PAC T	TOTAL	NOR B	BAL BI	BLA PAC		TOTAL NOR		BLA	PAC	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
AIRCRAFT CARRIERS					•												c	
KIEV OR SUCCESSOR	CVSG	UNK		-	1	1	П			-		-	'	2	•	2	7	4
SAM HELICOPTER CRUISERS												and a second second						
MOSKVA	СНС	4	1	,	2		2	1		2 -		2 -	'	2	'	2	2	2
SSM/SAM CRUISERS							-											
KARA OR SUCCESSOR (1)	CLGM	8		1	3	·····	7	2	i	3	i. wr	5 2	1	က	1	5	80	∞
KRESTA I	СГСМ	7 7	<u>۳</u>	ı	ı		7	3	•	-		4 3	ا د	ı	-	4	4	7
KRESTA II OR SUCCESSOR(i) CLGM	CLGM	7	2	н	,	- 5	∞	9	H	- 2	- 1	6	6 1	1	3	10	12	12
KYNDA	CLGM	8 2	1	1	2	7	7	ı	1	2 2		- 7	1	2	. 5	. 4	4	4
TOTAL	CLGM		6	П	5	5	20 1	11		5 5		22 11		5	9	23	28	28
CRUISERS						er erione					e e e							
SVERDLOV	CLCP	2	ı	ı	-	Н	- 7	1	1	1 1		2	1	Н	1	2	2	2
SVERDLOV	CLG	2		ı	1	1	г	•	1	1 -	and an oran	-	1	H	ŧ	н	Ħ	(1)
SVERDLOV	CL		2	m	2(1)	2(1)	7(2)	2	-	2(1) 2	2(1) 7	7(2)	2 1		2(1) 2(1)	1) 7(2)	(6(3)	4(2)
CHAPAEV	CL			1(1)	i	1	1(1)	1	1(1)	1		1(1)	1	1(1) -	ı	1(1)	(E)	ı

SECRET

III-2-6

PART III - Section 2

TABLE N 3 (continued)

		No. MISS ILE		Mid-1976					Mid-1977	7			Σ .	M14-1978			4	[id-1981	Mid-1981 Mid-1985
	CLASS TYPE	LNCHRS. SSM SAM	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA F	PAC	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
	KIROV CL		ı	ı	. 1	ı	ı	1	i.	ı	ı	1	ı	ı	,	ı	1	1	1
	TOTAL CLCP/CLG/CL		2	2(1)	4(1)	3(1)	11(3)	2	2(1)	4(1)	3(1)	11(3)	2	2(1)	4(1)	3(1)	11(3)	6(4)	6(3)
	MISSILE DESTROYERS											eman rejuda (ng. h. serega n							
	NEW CLASS DLGM/DDGM	UNK	ı	ı	ı	I	ı	ı	,	ı	ı	1	1	ı	ı	,	ı	н	6
	KRIVAK DDGSP	7 7	4	œ	1	ı	12	4	∞	-	1	13	5	7	20	-	15	20	20
IJ	KASHIN DLGM	7 7		2	2	ı	ı,	Н	3	3	1		-	က	4	-	6	12	12
I-2-	KASHIN DLG	7	-	0(1)	7(1)	4	12(2)	ı	(1)	6(1)	4	10(2)	r	0(1)	5(1)	e	8(2)	7	_
7	KANIN DDG	2	4	H	ı	2	7	4		1	3	∞	4	Н	ı	e	6 0	o o	5(3)
	KRUPNYY DDGS	2		ı	ı	н		ŧ	ı	ı		ı	. 1	ı	1	ı	f	1	ı
	MOD KILDIN DDGS	7	1	1	3	ı	6	ı	ı	3	,	е	ı		æ	46	m	е	e,
	KILDIN DDGS		1	1	ı	H	Н	ı	1	ı		-	ı	1	ı	-		en en	· m
PAI	SAM KOTLIN DDG	2	2	н	3	2	80	2	П	3	2	8	2	-	3	2	80	8	6(2)
RT III	TOTAL DLGM/DDGM/DDGSP/DLG/DDGS/ DDG/		12	12(1)	.2(1) 15(1)	10	49(2)	11	13(1)	16(1)]	10	50(2)	12	12(1)	17(1) 11		52(2)	59	62(5)
- Sec	DESTROYERS																		
ction	KOTLIN DD		2	er .	3(1)	8(1)	16(2)	2	က	3(1)	8(1) 16(2)	16(2)	2	3	2(1)	7(3)	7(3)14(4)	12(2)	8(2)

SECRET

TABLE N 3 (continued)

			.																
(##) 00 4 10		SILE	Mid-	176		-	- 1		Mid-1977	7	r		Æ	Mid-1978				Mid-1981	Mid-1985
	TYPE SSM	SSM SAM	NOR BAL		BLA	PAC 1	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL		TOTAL
SKORYY	ΩΩ		4(4) 5((7)	5(5) 4	4(5) 1	18(18)3(4) 4(4)	3(4)	4(4)	5(5)	4(5)	16(18) 3(4) 3(4)	3(4)	3(4)	5(4)	3(4)	14(16)	8(10)	
TOTAL	QQ		9(4) 8((4)	8(6) 12	12(6) 3	34(20)5(4) 7(4)	(4)	7(4)	8(6) 13	12(6)	32(20) 5(4)	5(4)	6(4)	1	10(7)	28(20)	20(12)	8(2)
DESTROYER ESCORTS							-												
NEW CLASS	UNIK		ı		,			ı		H	,	н	1	ı	ო	ı	ო	12	24
RIGA	DE		4(3) 6(2)	8(2) 12(2)		30(9) 4	4 (4)	5(2)	6(2) 10(2)		25(10) 3(4) 4(2)	3(4)		5	8(2)		20(10)	(2)
KOLA	DE		1	٠	(1)	1	(1)	ı	ı	(1)	ı	(1)	ı	ŀ	(1)	ı	(1)	ı	
MIRKA	DE		- 14	9		- 2	70	1	14	9	ı	20	1	14	9	ŧ	20	20	12(8)
PETYA I and III	DE		8 2	9		6 2	22	7	2	7	9	19	7	2	7	9	19	19	13(6)
PETYA II	DE		13 -	4	1	10 2	27	13	1	4	10	27	13	ı	4	10	27	27	26(1)
TOTAL	DE	72	26(3)22	(2)24(3)		(2) 10	24(2) 100(10)24(4)21(2)	4(4)	1	21(3) 26	26(2)	92(1)	23(4)20(2)	1 _	22(3)	2	(1)	83(12)	75(20)
COASTAL ESCORTS																			
GRISHA OR SUCCESSOR (1) PCEP/PCE	PCE	2/-	10 -	10		6 2	26	10	2	10	, ,	29	12	4	10	∞	34	40	
POTI	PCE		15 20	16	5 14	4 65		15. 2	20	16 1	14 (65	14	18	15	13	09	50	
TURYA OR SUCCESSOR	PCH		3	9	5 13	3 30		4 1	10	8 1	15	37	5	12	6	17	43	50	
STENKA OR SUCCESSOR	PCS		- 29	10) 29	89 6		ED I	30	10 3	30	70	1	30	10	30	70	70	
S0 1	PCS		- 17	12		6 35		1	7	۲.	3	15	1	3	2	ı	5	ı	
TOTAL PCEP/PCE/PCH/PCS	PCS	L	28 74	54	89	8 224		29 6	, 69	9 67	69 21	216	31 (, 19	97	89	212 2	210	

SECRET

III-2-8

TABLE N 3 (continued)

	Mid-1981	BLA PAC TOTAL TOTAL		8 3 21 24	10 27 68 44	6 15 48 48-64	24 45 137 116-132	10 8 50 40	6 7 20 -	16 15 70 40		1 - 1	6 - 18 12	ı		-	9 5 32 40	5 32	5 32
	Mid-1978	BAL B		7	16	18	41	15	7	22			12	12			10		
		NOR		က	15	6	27	17	1	17		ı	ı	,			∞	∞ ;	∞ ;
		TOTAL		18	70	87	136	50	35	85		Н	20	21			28	28	28
		PAC		7	29	15	97	∞	10	18		ı	ŀ	,			4	4 11	4 11
	77	BLA		∞	10	9	24	10	6	19		-	7	8			∞	8	8
	Mid-1977	BAL		9	16	18	40	15	10	25		ı	13	13			6	9	9
		NOR	 	2	15	6	26	17	9	23		!	1	-			7	7 111	7 111
		TOTAL		16	72	48	136	50	40	90		Н	20	21			24	24	24 45
		PAC		П	29	15	45	80	10	18		I	1	1			3	3	3
	92	BLA		∞	10	9	24	10	10	20		1	7	∞			7	7	7
	Mid-1976	BAL		2	16	18	39	15	12	27		ı	13	13			80	8	8 13
,		. NOR		7	17	6	28	17	∞	25		1	1	1			9	6 11	6 11
	No. MISSILE	LNCHRS. SSM SAM		6 2	4	7												······································	
		CLASS (ii) TYPE	MISSILE PATROL CRAFT NANUCHKA OR	SUCCESSOR (i)	OSA I PTFG	OSA II OR SUCCESSOR (1) PTFG	TOTAL PGGP/PTFG	TORPEDO PATROL CRAFT SHERSHEN OR SUCCESSOR	P6/P4	TOTAL PTF/PT	HIGH SPEED CRAFT	SLEPEN	PCHELA	TOTAL PGM/PBH	FLEET MINESWEEPERS (111)		NATYA OR SUCCESSOR MSF		OR SUCCESSOR

TABLE N 3 (concluded)

(77)	•				1976			Σ	Mid-1977	,			Σ	Mid-1978				M14-1981
CLASS (11)	II	TYPE LNCHRS. SSM SAM	MOR.	BAL	BLA	PAC	TOTAL NOR BAL	NOR		BLA	PAC	TOTAL	NOR	BAL	BLA P	PAC	TOTAL	TOTAL
T.43		[Eq.	15	20	10	20	65	10	20	10	20	09	10	15	5	20	50	10
	TOTAL MSF	H	36	41	27	45	149	31	42	28	45	146	31	38		95	139	95
SMALL MINESWEEPERS (iii)	EEPERS (iii)																	
SASHA	MSM	×	<u> </u>	6	3	ı	12	1	œ	2	ι	10	1	5	2	1	7	ı
T.301	MSM		1	2	Н	ı	က	1.	ı	ı	ı	1	ı		1	ı	ı	ı
SONYA OR SUCCESSOR	CESSOR MSC	()	4	∞	1	7	16	4	10	4	9	24	9	12	9	∞	32	84
VANYA	MSC/MHC		17	23	16	17	73	17	23	16	17	73	17	23	16	17	73	65
ZHENYA	MSC	[]	1	3	ı	ı	en.		ю	1	1	en	1	٣	ı	ı	۳	ო
E-1	TOTAL MSM/MHC/MSC		21	45	20	21	107	20	43	24	19	110	23	43	24	25	115	116
(i)	Successor class may not have the same number of missile launcher	nave the sar		sile s	missile system or	or		(11)		OOB for the various cla high speed craft, and m confidence beyond 1981.	variou craft,	us clasand min	ses of	OOB for the various classes of coastal escorts, patrol and high speed craft, and minesweepers cannot be estimated with confidence beyond 1981.	d esco	rts, p e esti	mated v	ınd 71th
ART III -								(111)		About 15 percent of and 10 percent of the in a reserve status.	rcent cent of statu	of the the sma	total all mi	About 15 percent of the total number of fleet minesweepers and 10 percent of the small minesweepers are likely to be in a reserve status.	of fle ers ar	et min e like	esweepe ly to b	irs oe

III-2-30

ESTIMATED AOB OF SOVIET NAVAL AVIATION BY FLEETS

TABLE N 4

(MID-1976 AND MID-1979) AND TOTAL AOB (MID-1982 AND MID-1985)(1)

				MID-1976					MID-1979			MID-1982	MID-1985
		NORTH	BALTIC	BLACK(ii)	PACIFIC	TOTAL	NORTH	BALT1C	BLACK(11)	PACIFIC	TOTAL		
	STRIKE SUBSONIC	65	72	56	100	293	55	99	45	84	250	205	120
ВЕВ	STRIKE SUPERSONIC		24	(iv)		64	15 (iv)	38	42 (iv)		95 (×)	150 (v1)	190 (vii)
BOW	TANKERS	23	13	15	28	79	20	5	50	25	8	08	59
	SUBSONIC	26	6	9	53	124 (viii)	22	20	0	20	135 (viii)	100 (viii)	80 (viii)
CCE	SUPERSONIC	<u> </u> -	က	က	ı	9	•	m	က	ı	₽		20
ВE	HELICOPTERS	9	2	7	ω	56	∞	ഹ	10	1	30	52	01
N FIR	FIXED WING (ix)	26	21	36	55	168	63	21	41	55	180	210	225
IZA TA9	HEL ICOPTERS	22	30	85	07	240	40	15	120	20	225	275	305
UNKNOMN	V/STOL	<u>'</u>	-	15	•	15			8 ×	ı	09	20	105

Forty-five Medium Fixed Wing and 85 Helicopter transport not included here, but included in Table A 1. Numbers shown do not include 24 BADGER, 7 MAIL, 12 HORMONE A, 2 BACKFIRE, 2 MAY, and 2 BEAR F at Nikolayev/ (£)

Kulbakino (Training a/c.). (111)

Includes 11 BADGER A and 10 BEAGLE free fall bombers in the Baltic Fleet, but does not include 16 BEAGLE (utility) tow target role (10 Baltic Fleet, 6 Northern Fleet).
Includes 15 BACKFIRE.

(Possibly some for use in reconnaissance role.) Fleet subordination not known. (Possibly some for use in reconnaissance role.)
(Possibly some for use in reconnaissance role.) Includes two CUB signal intelligence collection aircraft in each Fleet.

Includes 40 BACKFIRE. Includes 95 BACKFIRE. Includes 140 BACKFIRE. (iv) (v) (vi) (vii) (viii) (ix) (x)

MAIL, MAY, and BEAR F. Fleet subordination may vary.

SECRET US 161/76

PART III - Section 2

III-2-11

TABLE N 5 ESTIMATED OOB OF NON-SOVIET WARSAW PACT NAVIES BY COUNTRIES (MID-1976)

(Excluding Amphibious Ships and Craft)

CLASS	TYPE	GDR	POLAND	BULGARIA	ROMANIA
SUBMARINES MEDIUM RANGE					
WHISKEY	SS	_	4	2	-
ROMEO	SS	-	-	2 2	-
SAM DESTROYER					
SAM KOTLIN	DDG	_	1	_	_
NEW CLASS	DDG	_	i	-	-
DESTROYER ESCORTS RIGA	DE	2		•	
RIGA	DE	2	- -	2	-
COASTAL ESCORTS					
HAI	PC	12	- .	-	-
KRONSHTADT	PC	-	-	2	3
S0_1	PC	4		-	-
OBLUZE	PCS	-	14 (i)	-	-
GDANSK OKSYWIE	PC PC		9 4	-	-
SHANGHAI	PCS/	-	4	-	12 (i)
SIMIGIAL	PGM	-	-	-	12 (1)
POTI	PC	-	-	-	3
MISSILE PATROL CRAFT					·
OSA I	PTFG	12	12	3	5
TORPEDO PATROL CRAFT					
SHERSHEN	PTF	15	_ '	6	_ 1
WISLA	PT	_	12	- <u>-</u>	_
P 6	PT	-	3	-	- 1
P 4	PT	-	-	8	6
ILTIS	PT	38	+	, -	-
LIBELLE	PT	5	-	-	- 1
HUCHWAN	PTH	-		-	6
MINE WARFARE SHIPS				······································	
T 43	MSF	_	12	2	_]
KRAKE	MSF	3	-	•	-
M 40	MSF			-	4
KRONGULEC	MSF	-	12	-	- 1
KONDOR	MSC	34	-	-	-
T 301	MSC MSM	-	-	4 1	10
PERSONNEL STRENGTH (11)	1:	7,500	26,000	10,500	11,000

 ⁽i) Numbers include some units from GDR Coastal Border Brigade (GBK)
 Polish Maritime Frontier Guard (WOP), and Romanian Maritime Frontier Guard.
 (ii) Numbers include Border Guards etc., and all naval elements.

SECRET US 161/76

III-2-12

SECRET

TABLE N 6

ESTIMATED AOB OF NON-SOVIET WARSAW PACT NAVIES BY COUNTRIES (MID-1976)

AIRCRAFT ROLE	GDR	POLAND	BULGARIA	ROMANIA	TOTAL
FIGHTER BOMBER RECCE	1	10	1	1	10
FIGHTER BOMBER ATTACK	•	36	1	1	36
ASW HELICOPTER (HOUND)	10	5	9	4	25
TRANSPORTS - FIXED WING	1	2	ı	ı	2
TRANSPORTS/RECCE HELO	9	27 (1)		•	33
TRAINING AIRCRAFT	1	က	1	1	က

(1) This figure includes 25 HARE.

SECRET US 161/76

III-2-13

TABLE N 7

AND AIR CUSHION VEHICLES BY FLEETS/COUNTRIES ESTIMATED OOB OF WARSAW PACT AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS

(Those vessels used regularly to land amphibious troops and judged to represent Amphibious Vessels with a Primary Amphibious Role. the primary assault lift of the navy.) Ä

			,	SOVIET NAVY	٨,				NSMP	NSWP NAVIES			
CLASS	TYPE	North Ba	1110	Black	Casplan	Pacific	Total	SDR	Poland	Bulgaria	Komanta	Grand Total	
ALLIGATOR	LST	2	ო	4	1	4	13	1	1	ı	ı	13	
ROPUCHA	LST	1	က	ı	ı	ı	ო		ı	•	ı	က	
POLNOCNY	LSM	13	16	13	თ	Ξ	62	1	23	1	ı	82	
ROBBE	LSM	ı	1	•	ı	1	ı	9	ı	t	•	y	
VYDRA	707	ı			•	ı	ı	1	1	10		10	
LAB0 100	257	ı	1			1	ı	12	ı	ı	•	12	
MFP	757	ı		ı	1	ı	ı	•	•	თ	•	6	
EICHSTADEN	LCP	1	,		ı	ı	•	1	15	1	•	15	
MARABUT	LCVP	ı		1	1	ı	ı	•	က	ı	1	က	
		_				_							

SECRET US 161/76

TABLE N Z (Continued)

Amphibious Vessels with a Residual Role Only. (Units designed as amphibious vessels and retaining a physical capability to Tand amphibious troops but which have not been observed to be used in that capacity in recent years.) (i)

				SOVIET NAVY	NAVY				NSM	NSWP NAVIES		
CLASS	TYPE	North	Baltic	Black	Caspian	Pacific	Total	GDR Po	Poland	Bulgaria	Romania	Grand Total
MP-8	LSM	_	1	ı	ı	ı						<u>, </u>
MP-4	LSM		ı	ı	•	5 (10)	(10)					(01)
SMB-1	237	ı 	(2)	(15)	(10)	5 (5)	5 (35)					5 (35)
VYDRA	rcn	ı		5 (5)	3 (3)	5 (5)	13 (13)					13 (13)
MP-10	3		ı	ı	ı	(2)	(5)					(2)
T-4	LCM	Numero	us in all g follow-u	fleet area p units.	Numerous in all fleet areas for use in on/off-loading follow-up units.	in on/off-						
Air Cushion Vehicles											,	
AIST	LACV	ı	က	ı	•	i	м					ო
LEBED	LACV	ı	2	1	1	1	8					2
eus	LACV	ı	7	4	•	7	82					81

(i) Additional units estimated to be in reserve are shown in parenthesis.

œ.

TABLE N 8

MERCHANT SHIPPING OF THE WARSAW PACT (1 JAN 1976)

(Seagoing hips of 100 GRT and Over, in Thousands of DWT)

RI		٠	,															
T	COUNTRY	DRY CA	DRY CARGO (1)	CONTAINER	AINER	RO/RO	0;	REFRIGERATED	RATED	BULK C	CARRIER	TANKER	R (POL)	TANKER	(SPE.)	PASSENGER	(11)	TOTAL
		No.	DWT	No.	DWT	No.	DWT	No.	DWT	No.	DWT	No.	DWI	No.	DWT	No.	DWT	No. DWT
	USSR (over 1000 GRT)				-													
	Northern Fleet	195	886	1	ı	1	1	1	'	23	210	4	12	1	ı	6	9	231 1,216
	Baltic Fleet	270	1,800	2	38	6	96	20	100	10	20	45	585	2	2	7	18	
	Black Sea Fleet	335	3,300		32	6	55	10	23	51	810	160	4,000	10	28	30	70	
	Pacific Fleet	330	2,175	2	38	'	ا،	7	6	24	170	63	450	'	'	2	58	447 2,900
	Total	1130	8,263	14	108	18	145	27	132	108	1,240	272	5,047	12	33	69		1650 15,120
	IISSR																	
	Caspian Sea Fleet	25	63	1	1	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	20	275	Н	4	2	Н	
	River-sea Fleet	230	940	1	,	,	1	ı	ı	ı	1	09	280	1	1	ı	1	290 920
_	Under 1000 GRT	65	31	41	4	5	∞	1	1	ı	1		2	П	11	П	1	76 4
	Total	320	734	4	7	5	œ	1	1	ı	ı	112	557	1	4	2	 1	444 1,308
	USSR: Grand Total	1450	8,997	18	112	23	153	27	132	108	1,240	384	5,604	13	37	71	153	2094 16,428
					1										1		†	
	NSWP:		-															
	Poland	177	1,400	1	1	1	7	4	6	97	1,863	10	830	٣	30	5	10	
	GDR	108	812	1	1	က	11	∞	53	14	270	16	209	1	1	5	13	
	Bulgaria	57	390	П	2	ı	'	1	ı	29	362	22	909	ı	1	5	∞	114 1,268
	Romania	29	335	1	1	1	ı	ı	1	56	561	7	435	,	1	H	7	
	Czechoslovakia	∞	43	ı	1	1	1	•	1	9	177	1	ı	ı	!	ı	1	14 23
	Hungary	8	8	П	+ }	Н	'	. '	1	'	'	1	'	П	'	1	'	20 20
	Total	437	3,070	H	2	4	13	12	62	172	3,233	55	2,378	٣	30	16	33	700 8,821
	NSWP: Under 1000 GRT	72	55	20	18	ı	1	Н	H	,	ı	14	10	ı	ı	e	1	110 85
	NSWP: Grand Total	209	3,125	21	20	4	13	13	63	172	3,233	69	2,388	m	99	19	34	810 8,906
	GRAND TOTAL: WP	1959	12,122	39	132	27	166	40	195	280	4,473	453	7,992	16	29	06	187	2904 25,334

(i) Includes timber carrier, cargo-passenger, cargo-training (ii) Includes short-sea passenger and passenger-car/rail ferries

SECRET US 161/76

III-2-16

TABLE N 9

FISHING FLEETS OF THE WARSAW PACT (1 JAN 1976)

(Trawlers and Support Ships of 100 GRT and Over, Rounded to the Nearest 100 GRT)

SECRET

COUNTRY	TRA	TRAWLERS	FACTO	FACTORY TRAWLERS	FACTO REF.	FACTORY SHIPS & REF. TRANSPORTS	AUXILL	AUXILIARY SHIPS (i)	RESEA	RESEARCH SHIPS	TOTAL	
	No.	GRT	No.	GRT	No.	GRT	No.	GRT	No.	GRT	No.	GRT
USSR												
Baltic Fleet	898	305,000	264	809,700	139	846,600	105	109,100	9	1,300	1,382	2,071,700
Black Sea Fleet	164	57,600	145	409,500	32	253,300	38	23,400	24	31,900	403	775,700
Northern Fleet	695	227,900	158	505,200	44	409,100	58	98,200	14	12,700	743	1,253,100
Far East Fleet	1,033	384,600	204	597,000	196	1,277,900	170	254,200	39	44,100	1,642	2,557,800
Caspian Sea Fleet	245	31,300	1		95	98,900	48	15,100	4	2,100	392	147,400
Total	2,779	2,779 1,006,400	771	2,321,400	909	2,885,800	419	200,000	87	92,100	4,562	6,805,700
NSWP												
Poland	182	56,500	83	174,000	7	48,000	∞	11,600	က	3,700	283	293,800
GDR	133	57,200	13	39,300	∞	42,500	5	1,600	ĸ	2,900	164	143,700
Romania	ı	ı	29	89,900	4	36,300	ı	ı	ı	I	33	126,200
Bulgaría	•	ı	29	76,400	9	33,300	11		1	-	35	109,700
Total	315	113,700	154	379,600	25	160,100	13	13,200	∞	009,9	515	673,400
GRAND TOTAL	3,094	3,094 1,120,100	925	2,701,000	531	3,045,900	432	513,200	95	98,700	5,077	7,479,100

SECRET US 161/76

III-2-17

PART III - Section 2

(1) Includes tankers, tugs, training and floating workshop ships

TABLE N 10

ESTIMATED NAVAL HYDROGRAPHIC/OCEANOGRAPHIC FLEETS
OF THE WARSAW PACT (MID-1976) (1)

COUNTRY	TYPE	CLASS	NUMBER
USSR (i)	AGOR	ABKHAZIYA NEVELSKOY AKADEMIK KRYLOV NILOLAY ZUBOV POLYUS	4 1 3 9
	AGS	POLIUS BIYA KAMENKA LENTRA MELITOPOL MOMA TELNOVSK SAMARA T-43 MP-8 MOD TELNOVSK MOD KEYLA MOD LENTRA	3 9 8 3 23 4 16 20 1 2
	AGSB	MOD DOBRYNYA NIKITICH TOTAL	<u>1</u> 122
POLAND	AGS	MOMA TOTAL	-]
GDR	AGSC	JORDAN KFK SCHOLLE TOTAL	1 1 -1 3
BULGARIA	AGS AGSC	Single Ship VARNA TOTAL	1 2
ROMANIA	AGS	FRIPONNE TOTAL	1

⁽i) There are, in addition, approximately 100 Soviet non-naval units, mostly of 500 GRT and over, subordinated to various research institutions.

PART III

SECTION 3

AIR FORCES TABLES

TABLE A 1	SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF WARSAW PACT AIR FORCES BY MAJOR COMPONENTS (MID-1976)
TABLE A 2	ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET AVIATION OF AIR DEFENSE (MID-1976)
TABLE A 3	ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF INTERCEPTORS OF SOVIET AVIATION OF AIR DEFENSE (MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)
TABLE A 4	ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF SOVIET LONG RANGE AVIATION (MID-1976, MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)
TABLE A 5	ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET FRONTAL AVIATION (MID-1976)
·TABLE A 6	ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET FRONTAL AVIATION (MID-1978, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)
TABLE A 7	ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET MILITARY TRANSPORT AVIATION (MID-1976, MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)
TABLE A 8	ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF NON-SOVIET WARSAW PACT AIR FORCES (MID-1976)
TABLE A 9	ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF NON-SOVIET WARSAW PACT AIR FORCES (MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)
TABLE A 10	WARSAW PACT RESERVE COMBAT AIRCRAFT (MID-1976)
TABLE A 11	ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF SOVIET CIVIL AVIATION (MID-1976, MID-1979, AND MID-1985)
TABLE A 12	WARSAW PACT HARDENED AIRCRAFT SHELTERS (MID-1976)

SECRET US 161/76

111-3-1

SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF WARSAW PACT AIR FORCES BY MAJOR COMPONENTS (MID-1976)

WARSAW PACT(111)		3595	2187	471	8 2 2 8		1310	700	6	202	144	7070	047	947	2070	0/07	15180	
WARSAW PACT (1)	1130	310	542	99	2048		170	0/4	,	1				25	356		2617	
SOVIET	2465	2030	1640	405	6540		530		205	144	168	240	000	776	2522	1000	17303	
AVIATION			1		_	ı	1		4.5		Ī	•	760		370 (vi)	1175	m1d-1976	Table N 4).
AVIATION(i)	•	-	•			357	156 (v)		35	6/	168	047	48		7.7	1160	ed for SNA by	aviation (see
AVIATION	-	,			1	735	35	, i	30	60			30	0	7.0	925	aft estimat	NSWP naval
ALK DEFENDE	2402			27.65	0044	ľ		ı					40	115		2629	5 V/STOL aircr	85 aircraft of combat aircraf
	2030	1640	405	4075		330		7.5		1			77	1950		6674	ude some 1	ude abour 5 reserve
RInterceptor	Counterair	Ground Attack	keconnaissance	TOTAL		Reconnaissance	Electronic	Warfare	Tanker	Fixed Wing	Helicopter	Transport	Medium/Heavy Helicopter	Medium/Heavy		GRAND TOTAL	1) Does not incli 1) Does not incli	(iii) Excluding 4555 (4*) AMAC AMACA
	AVIATION AVIATION AVIATION AVIATION SOUTET WARSAW PACT (11)	2030 - 2465 - 2465 - 2000 - 20	2030 - 2465 - 2465 - 2030 - 2030 310 - 2030 310	AVIATION AVIATION AVIATION AVIATION AVIATION SOVIET WARSAW PACT (ii)	2030 - 2465 - 2465 - 2465 - 2465 - 2465 1130 - 2405 1130 - 2030 31	AVIATION AVIATION	AVIATION AVIATION 1	AVIATION (1) AVIATION (2) AVIATION (3) AVIATION (4) 2030	AVIATION AVIATION AVIATION AVIATION SOVIET WARSAW PACT (11)	Table Tabl	T	2030 - 2465 - 2465 - 2465 1130	T	T	T	The color of the	The color of the	The contract of the contract

Includes 26 HORMONE B Reconnaissance helicopters.

Miscellaneous helicopters not accounted for in other air force tables including helicopters subordinated to Soviet

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-2

SECRET

		1 TOT		7					4			11
		KHABAROVSK	00%	060					3			56
MID-1976)		LENINGRAD MINSK KIEV BAKU TASHKENT MOSCOW(1) SVERDLOVSK NOVOSIBIRSK KHABAROVSK	145		1			C			;	22
STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET AVIATION OF AIR DEFENSE (MID-1976)		SVERDLOVSK	170		ı						,	T
IATION OF P	RICTS	MOSCOW(1)	385		í			C			27	17
SOVIET AV	AIR DEFENSE DISTRICTS	TASHKENT	165		1			m			77	-
ION OF	AIR DE	BAKU	270 330		1			2			σ	
SPOSIT		KIEV	270		í			m			7	
AND DI		MINSK	170		ı			1	ı		i	
		LENINGRAD	180		ı			m			5	
ESTIMATED		ARKHANGELSK	260		Ų					,	14	
	AIRCRAFT	TYPE	INTERCEPTORS	SWA C	Out I	MEDIT THE Y	HEAVY	TRANSPORT	MEDIUM	HEAVY/	PETTOOLIER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Includes PVO Headquarters.

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-3

			TOTAT,			2230		2180		2040	
				KHABAROVSK		370		360		300	
	T AVIATION			MOSCOW SVERDLOVSK NOVOSIBIRSK KHABAROVSK		130		125		125	
	ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF INTERCEPTORS OF SOVIET AVIATION OF AIR DEFENSE (MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)			SVERDLOVSK		150		150		150	
m!	NTERCEPT	() () () () () () () () () ()	TRICES	MOSCOM		350		335		300	
TABLE A 3	ITION OF 1		AIR DEFENSE DISTRICTS	BAKU TASHKENT		140		140		125	
	DISPOS E (MID	A T A	ATH D	BAKU		310		305		290	
	H AND DEFENS			KIEV		250		240		225	
	STRENGT OF AIR			MINSK		150		145		145	
	ESTIMATED			LENINGRAD		150		150		150	
				ARKHANGELSK LENINGRAD MINSK KIEV	(۵ د د د		230		230	
			YEAR		E E	1979	(i F y	1982	()	1985	
	SECR US 1	ET 61/7	6			11	I-3	3–4			PA

ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF SOVIET LONG RANGE AVIATION (MID-1976, MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)

TOTAL	200	4.0	225	777		09	2.0	0.9	140	30	15	650
3rd ARMY	5.0	20	25	80		20	5	3.0	5.5	10	5	165
2nd ARMY	1	20	06	185		20	'n	١	2.5	10	5	225
1st ARMY	75	1	110	185		20	10	30	09	10	5	260
TOTAL	200	7.0	320	590		50	30	09	140	35	15	780
3rd ARMY	5.0	20	50	120		10	10	30	50	10	5	185
2nd ARMY	7.5	40	100	215		20	10	ı	3.0	10	5	260
1st ARMY	75	10	170	255		20	10	30	09	15	2	335
TOTAL	125	110	450	685		0.7	4.0	09	140	35	15	875
3rd ARMY	2.5	40	0.6	155		10	10	30	50	10	5	220
2nd ARMY	40	50	145	235	,	15	15	1	30	10	5	280
1st ARMY	9	2.0	215	295	1	12	15	30	09	15	5	375
TOTAL	50	140	545	735	i.	S	50	65	150	30	10	925
3rd ARMY	10	55	100	165	u	CT	15	30	09	10	5	240
2nd ARMY	20	65	165	250		7	15	,	30	5	1	285
1st ARMY	20	20	280	320	<i>u</i>	,	20	35	9	15	5	400 285
	BACKFIRE BEAR/BISON	B OMBERS B ADGER/BLINDER	BOMBERS	TOTAL BOMBERS BEAR F/RADGER F/	BLINDER C RECONNAISSANCE	BADGER A/BACKFIRE	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	TANKER	COMBAT SUPPORT	MEDIUM/HEAVY HELICOPTER	MEDIUM/HEAVY	GRAND TOTAL

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-5

SOVIET FRONTAL AVIATION (MID-1976) TABLE A DISPOSITION OF ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND

ATRCRAFT TYDE	E L G O							-			-		MID	L			
Σ. Σ.	=	-		CENTRA	RAL			S	SOUTHERN	RN	RES	RESERVE	EAST	FA	AR EAS	EASTERN	TOTAL
LENINGRAD		. GDR, GSFG	РОГАИР, ИGF	cssk, cGF	CARPATHIAN MD	BALTIC MD	BELORUSSIAN MD	нимелку, вст	0 0 E228 WD	SUZAJUAJZNART QM	WOSCOM WD	KIEN WD	TURKESTAN MD	CENTRAL ASIAN	i e	TAR EAST MD	
44		375	130	50	70 50	55 25	130	120	50	70	55 40	40	30	15	60	100	1350
55	_	215	80		90	9.0	50	45	40	75	40	-	20	2	906	06	066
55		35	35	2	80	35	70	2	25	40 35	1 2	1 1	30	40 25	125 25	90	650
40	9	9.0	285 9	95 3	315 2	30	265	190 2	200	280	150	80	155	195	445	360	4075
1 9		,			20	40	1	30		20	,	ı	1		30	30	200
2	- -	30 20	20	വവ	5 5	15	25	10	30	35	0-			25	35	45	330
50 C	2 2	80	315 10 55 2 3	202	2	95	T	1 1 1		40	090	090-	155 75 3	220 50 3	510 275 7	5 440 285 7	4680 1925 44
248	10,	028 3	373 13	5	62 3	310	435	298 3	325 5	30	240 1	140	233	273	792	732	6649

There are no Frontal Aviation formations in the Volga, North Caucasus, Ural, and Siberian MDs. Medium and heavy helicopter regiments and flights assigned to TAAs.

NOTE:

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-6

ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET FRONTAL AVIATION (MID-1978, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)

	TOTAL	0.00	1970	470	4160	120	270	100	760	4650	2250	1940	1730	250	4220	120	190	T 001	410	2300	1900	1730	595	4225	120	155	100	375	4600	2300
	EAST MD	FAR	145	09	365	30	35	5	70	435	087	160	145	4	+	000	2 4	1	430		L	Ц			L	30	5	55	L	
PAD TACMEDY	REPIKYT WD	AAT 5	220	40	470	30	<u>ا</u> م	^	65	070	210	017	077			- 1	- 1		1			1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1			1	1
L		СЕИ	40	40	200	1 6	ρ Σ	١	35	120	120	7 7	407	200	- 1		1	1	230	1 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	120
MID RAST	KESTAN MD	япт	55	15	150	•	•		150	100	80	2.5	15	150	7	Τ	Τ	1	150	-1	7	+	+	+	-	+	2	+	+	100
KESERVE	CIV A	S KIE		1 0		1			80	80	80	,		80			•	•	80	80	30	T I	9	2	,	+	+	1 8	200	will not 1 20 240
英	di nos.	1 1		T	7	T	Τ-		140	-		-	1-1	-	-1	_			140	26	00%	20	140				<u> </u>	1/-	0.00	06
-	MSCAUCASUS MD			30		30			1 1	- 1			35			- 1	- 1	- 1	325	1					2	2	25	330	2000	201
SOUTHERN	GM ASSE	- 1 1		1		1	,	i	225			- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	1	1	1	1	,	30	٦	35	225	100	1111
S	NGARY, SGF		4.	1	L.				190						12	'	٦	7	100	201	80	20	200	10	'	2	15	215	100	Caucasus MDe
	TORUSSIAN MD	- 1 1					- 1	- 1	- 1		-	- 1	- [1	1	- 1	-1	140	1	!	1 1	- 1	- 1	,			295	1	Caucas
	TIC MD	8 8 E	35	300	20	10	2	35	335	200	000	COT	310	216	07	٦	30	3,5	80	80	200	20	330	20	'	2	25	355	80	North
Contraction of the Contraction o	GM NAIHTA98																				190	20	360	20	'	2	25	385	200	ın, and
	SSR, CGF	5 80	0 15	5 95	1	7 4			100	8		15	95	f .	1	1	i	ı	, ,	- 1		15	1	•	•		5	100	9	Siberia
	dr, csfc	1 1	1 1	- 1		- 1				1	1	1		1	2	15	5 15	5 285) 120	110	071	975	617	1	ן ו			067	170	olga,
	DK' CZŁG			ol	25	- 1	30	1	1	Į I	1 1	25 8	t t	,	20 1		1		90 280		1	1			T	T	T	1	1 280	t the \
-			a	+	+	+				\dashv	-	_	1	-		-	2	175	+	1 20	+	-	'	15	-	100	170	2/2	<u>۲</u>	ed tha
		Counterair	G Reconnaissanc	18	Reconnaissance	ECM	SUBTOTAL	TOTAL	Helicopter(i)	Counterair	Fighter Bomber	<u>ا</u> ل	SUBTOTAL	Domper	Reconnaissance	ECE	SUBTOTAL	TOTAL	Countersir	EFighter Romber	Reconnaissance	SUBTOTAL	Bomber	Reconnaissance	ECM	SUBTOTAI.	TOTAL	Helicopter (1)	1	Medium and North Caucasus MDs will
					<u> </u>	T-(II	٠ <u>-</u> -	1					78	6T	-a	IW		+	197	Э		8	- 6T	-a	IW	_		100	(i)

 $\frac{\text{SECRET}}{\text{US } 161/76}$

III-3-7

ESTIMATED STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION OF SOVIET MILITARY TRANSPORT AVIATION (MID-1976, MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)

	TOTAL	45 690 70	805 670 10 5	835 60 655 150	865 60 640 185 885
	TRANSBAIKAL CENTRAL ASIA SIBERIA FAR EAST MDS	70	0 2 2	70 70 15	85 7 20 90
	NORTH CAUCASUS TRANSCAUCASUS TURKESTAN URAL MDS	70	70 70 84	70	7.0 7.0 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5
	ODESSA KIEV MDs	225 20 245	215 25 240	210	202 50 255 255
VOLGA	CARPATHIAN BALTIC BELORUSSIAN MOSCOW MDS	45 230 50 325	60 220 45 325	60 215 55 330	60 210 65 335
	LENINGRAD MD	95 - 95	95 15 110	90 20 110	85 285 110
	AIRCRAFT CATEGORY	Electronic Warfare Medium Transport Heavy Transport TOTAL			
	YEAR	MID- 1976	MID- 1979	MID- 1982	MID- 198 5

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-8

TABLE A 8

ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF NON-SOVIET WARSAW PACT AIR FORCES (MID-1976) (1)

SECRET US 161/76

	TOTAL	o o	250		200	110	275		99	8706	2040	18	,	T/0	356	25		569	2617	
	BULGARIA	30	40		20	09	70		2	225			20		36	'	·	7/	296	
- Free state of	KUMANIA	180	40			•	80		-	300		-	«	27	1,4	3	89	8	368	
HIMCADV	TWENTON	85	55				1			140		-	ı	07		-	70		180	t). raft.
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		90	30	80	30		155	25		410	ı		45	140	c	7	187		/60	BEAGLE (includes some BEAGLE ECM), CRATE SIGINT collection aircraft.
POLAND		215	3	100	20	105	173	36		TCO	18		54	41	10		123	777.	F Norm	E ECM), CRATE S
GDR		280		,	'	67		,	322	325	1	,	18	52	10		80	402	airoraft	some BEAGL
AIRCRAFT ROLE	National Air Defense	Clear Air Mass	Counterair			Ground Attack		Reconnaissance	SUBTOTAL		BEAGLE	Recognition is a second	wecomaissance (11)	Helicopter (iii)	Transport Medium	T. HOMELLO	SUBTUTAL	TOTAL		(ii) BEAGLE (includes (iii) HOIND and larger

PART III - Section 3

III-3-9

ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF NON-SOVIET WARSAW PACT AIR FORCES (1) (MID-1979, MID-1982, AND MID-1985)

TA BULGARIA 70 76 76 40 45 45 70 70 70 86 60 84 74 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 7	1	_	 	+	+	-+-	+	-		+1-		1 -	+-	+	-4	-	+ -	+ 1	-	4	-+		۲-	-	4.
Aircraft Role GDR POLAND CSSR HUNGARY ROMANIA National Air Defense 290 290 125 125 200 Counterair 40 124 110 - 10 Ground Attack 60 180 435 150 318 Reconnaissance (ii) 77 69 170 55 78 Helicopters (iii) 77 69 170 55 78 National Air Defense 290 275 120 15 40 Counterair 40 100 60 5 40 80 Reconnaissance (ii) 48 156 5 40 80 170 60 80 TOTAL 489 654 420 150 5 40 80 National Air Defense 290 285 115 100 40 80 Counterair 80 120 40 40 40 40 40 4		TOTALS	1100	350	300	222	007	22/3	767		1000	408	539	100	187	2288	548		0.50	DCOT	400	246	286	2287	275
Aircraft Role GDR POLAND CSSR HUNCARY National Air Defense 290 290 125 125 Counterair 40 124 110 - - Ground Attack 60 180 435 150 - Reconnaissance (ii) 77 69 170 55 - Helicopters (iii) 77 69 170 55 - National Air Defense 290 275 120 115 - Counterair 48 155 130 5 - Reconnaissance (ii) 40 60 5 - - Accound Attack 84 100 60 5 - Accound Attack 84 100 170 60 - Mational Air Defense 290 285 115 100 - Counterair 80 120 40 100 60 - Reconnaissance (ii) 40 </td <td>THE CAPT</td> <td>BULGARIA</td> <td>02</td> <td>92</td> <td>0/</td> <td>0/</td> <td>250</td> <td>967</td> <td>45</td> <td>Cr</td> <td>0/</td> <td>74</td> <td>09</td> <td>35</td> <td>30</td> <td>240</td> <td>54</td> <td></td> <td>7.0</td> <td>0/2</td> <td>0,</td> <td>09</td> <td>36</td> <td>236</td> <td>55</td>	THE CAPT	BULGARIA	02	92	0/	0/	250	967	45	Cr	0/	74	09	35	30	240	54		7.0	0/2	0,	09	36	236	55
National Air Defense CDR POLAND CSSR Counterair 40 124 110 Ground Attack 60 180 140 Reconnaissance (ii) 35 95 60 TOTAL 425 689 435 Helicopters (iii) 77 69 170 National Air Defense 290 275 120 Counterair 70 124 110 60 Reconnaissance (ii) 40 100 60 TOTAL 489 654 420 Helicopters (iii) 84 150 170 Mational Air Defense 290 285 115 Counterair 80 120 170 Ground A tack 96 150 120 Ground A tack 96 150 100 Ground A tack 96 150 100 Reconnaissance (ii) 40 100 60 Reconnaissance (iii) 40 100 655	ATMANOG	KUMANTA	200	01	200	28	318	310	78	100	130	25	80	07	0	335	80		190	35	818	00	40	345	80
National Air Defense GDR POLAND COLAND Counterair 40 124 Ground Attack 60 180 Reconnaissance (ii) 35 95 TOTAL 425 689 Helicopters (iii) 77 69 National Air Defense 290 275 Counterair 75 124 Ground Attack 84 155 Reconnaissance (ii) 40 100 TOTAL 489 654 Helicopters (iii) 84 150 National Air Defense 290 285 Counterair 80 120 Ground A tack 96 150 Ground A tack 96 150 Reconnaissance (ii) 40 100 TOTAL 506 655 Helicopters (iii) 82 100	TAGADMIH	HUNGANI	125		25		150	257	55	115	777	,	30	ı.	7 1	T20	09		100	-	0,7		10	150	09
National Air Defense CDB National Air Defense 290 Counterair 40 Ground Attack 60 Reconnaissance (ii) 35 TOTAL 425 Helicopters (iii) 77 National Air Defense 290 Counterair 40 TOTAL 489 Reconnaissance (ii) 48 Helicopters (iii) 84 National Air Defense 290 Counterair 80 Ground A tack 96 Reconnaissance (ii) 40 TOTAL 506 Helicopters (iii) 82	CSSB	NI COO	125	110	140	09	435	25.	1/0	120		OTT	130	09	200	470	170		115	100	120		90	395	170
National Aircraft Role Counterair Ground Attack Reconnaissance (ii) TOTAL Helicopters (iii) National Air Defense 2 Counterair Ground Attack Reconnaissance (ii) TOTAL National Air Defense 2 Counterair Ground Attack Reconnaissance (ii) Autional Air Defense 2 Counterair Ground A tack Recound A tack	POT.AND	2000	290	124	180	95	689		69	275	101	174	155	100	737	604	100		285	120	150		100	655	100
National Air Counterair Ground Attack Ground Attack Recomnaissan TOTAL Helicopters National Air Counterair Ground Attack Reconnaissand TOTAL Reconnaissand TOTAL Helicopters (National Air Counterair Ground A tack Reconnaissand TOTAL Helicopters (Reconnaissand TOTAL Reconnaissand Attack Reconnaissand Attack Reconnaissand TOTAL Helicopters (Helicopters (Reconnaissand Attack Reconnaissand Attack Reconnaissand Attack Reconnaissand Helicopters (Helicopters (Hel	GDR		290	07	09	35	425	77	,,,	290	-		84	40	087	403	84		290	80	96		4	909	82
	Aircraft Role		National Air Defense	Counterair	Ground Attack	e S	TOTAL	`	11	н			Ground Attack	a	TOTAL	1	7		ы	Counterair	Ground A tack	۱,	υl	- 1	\neg
				6	46							÷	<u> </u>								_	_	11-		

(i) Does not include NSWP naval aviation.(ii) All fixed wing type.(iii) HOUND and larger.

SECRET

US 161/76

III-3-10

TABLE A 10

WARSAW PACT RESERVE COMBAT AIRCRAFT (1)
(MID-1976)

		TRAINING AIRCRAFT (ii)	(ii)		
	Combat Units	Operational Conversion Units	Schools	Storage Aircraft	
				3.5.5.	iorai
SOVIET	725	205	2135 (iii)	200	3565
NSWP	345	ŀ	505 (iv)	150	1000
TOTAL	1070	205	2630	650	4555
			-		

Excluding DOSAAF,for which insufficient data is available to assess overall numbers. Including MIDGET.

Excluding 1130 MAYA and 30 L-39.

Excluding 250 MAYA. (i) (ii) (iii) (IV)

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-11

TABLE A 11

ESTIMATED STRENGTH OF SOVIET CIVIL AVIATION (MID-1976, MID-1979, AND MID-1985)

LIGHT M 400 750	JET MEDIUM 450 600	HEAVY 70	LIGHT 750 940	MEDIUM 690	HEAVY 35	TWIN PISTON 900 750	HELICOPTERS AND LIGHT PISTON 13,000
006	750	150	875	580	25	750	10,000

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-12

TABLE A 12

WARSAW PACT

HARDENED AIRCRAFT SHELTERS (MID-1976)

SOVIET	ı	55	969	185	280	ı	About 2700 (i)	3915
NSWP	180	250	95	ı	120	1		949
	BULGARIA	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	GDR	HUNGARY	POLAND	ROMANIA	USSR	TOTAL

(See Part (i) About 2000 shelters are located at FA bases and the remainder at APVO bases in the USSR. II - Section 7).

SECRET US 161/76

III-3-13

Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2

SECRET

PART III

SECTION 4

ALBANIAN AND YUGOSLAV TABLES

TABLE Z-1

Summary of Albanian Armed Forces

TABLE Z-2

Summary of Yugoslav Armed Forces

SECRET US 161/76

III-4-1

TABLE Z-1

SUMMARY OF ALBANIAN ARMED FORCES

Data on the Albanian Armed Forces are provided in the table below. For further details see SHAPE studies on countries bordering ACE.

GROUND FORCES

Personnel	Strength		
Δrmv	(including		

Army (including 5,000 personnel sub- ordinated to ground elements of National Air Defense	30,000
Frontier Troops	7,500
Interior Troops	5,000
TOTAL	42,500
Order of Battle	
Infantry Brigades	5
Armored Brigade	1
Artillery Regiment	3

NAVAL FORCES

Submarines	4
Large Submarine Chasers	4
Other Coastal Patrol Types	60
Minesweepers	8

Personnel Strength----- 3,000

AIR FORCES

Personnel Strength (excluding 5,000 personnel	
of ground forces subordinated to elements of	
National Air Defense	7,600

Order of Battle

Order of Battle

Fighters	95
Transports	5
Helicopters	_35
TOTAL	135

SECRET US 161/76

III-4-2 PART III - Section 4

TABLE Z-2

SUMMARY OF YUGOSLAV ARMED FORCES

Data on the Yugoslav Armed Forces are provided in the table below. For further details see SHAPE studies on countries bordering ACE.

GROUND FORCES

Personnel S	Strength
-------------	----------

Personnel Strength	٠
Army (including 15,000 personnel assigned to ground-based elements of Air Defense)	208,000
Frontier Guard	15,000
TOTAL	223,000
Order of Battle	
Infantry Divisions	9
Artillery Regiment	9
Infantry Brigade	11
Infantry Regiment	2
Mountain Brigade	2
Armored Brigade	7
Parachute Battalion	1
NAVAL FORCES	
Personnel Strength	19,300
Order of Battle	
Submarines	5
Destroyers	1
Large Submarine Chasers	19
Guided Missile Boats	10
Other Coastal Patrol Types	58
Minesweepers (including 14 MSM/MSI)	28
Utility and Miscellaneous Landing Craft	41

* Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2 SECRET

AIR FORCES

Personnel Strength (excluding 13,700 personnel of ground forces subordinated to elements of National Air Defense)	31,100
Order of Battle	
Day Fighters	40
All-Weather Fighters	80
Ground Attack	135
Reconnaissance	40
Transports (Light and Heavy)	55
Helicopters (Light and Medium)	95
TOTAL	435

PART IV	<u>1</u>
ILLUSTRATIVE CONCEPTS FOR THE EMPLOYMENT OF	<u>2</u>
WARSAW PACT FORCES IN THE EARLY STAGES OF WAR WITH NATO	<u>3</u>
(1976–1977)	4
SECTION 1	<u>5</u>
INTRODUCTION	<u>6</u>
1. This Part describes examples of major military	<u>7</u>
operations the USSR and its Warsaw Pact allies might undertake	<u>8</u>
in a war with NATO during the period from mid-1976 to mid-	<u>9</u>
1977. It is emphasized that the campaigns illustrated which	10
have been selected from a whole range of scenarios are only	11
a guide to what is generally and logistically possible, and	12
must not be taken to indicate what is considered to be the	<u>13</u>
most likely operation. Therefore, these illustrative concepts	14
must not be used as the only basis for defense planning.	<u>15</u>
2. The operations presented do not consider any assistance	16
which the Pact forces might receive from subversive elements	<u>17</u>
located outside the Warsaw Pact. No allowance is made for	18
military requirements associated with non-NATO contingencies or	<u>19</u>
for damage caused by the effects of Allied military actions.	20
3. As discussed in Part I, Section 1, there is a	21
possibility that Albania and Yugoslavia might become aligned	22
with the Warsaw Pact. The capabilities of their armed forces	23
are therefore described in Part II and their numerical strengths	24
in Part III, Section 4, although their participation in	<u>25</u>
operations is not considered in this part.	<u>26</u>
	27
	28
	29
	<u>30</u>
	<u>31</u>

<u>1</u> <u>2</u> 3 4

DADM TY	
PART IV	<u>1</u>
SECTION 2	2
ILLUSTRATIVE CONCEPTS OF	3
OPERATIONS OF WARSAW PACT FORCES	4
IN A STRATEGIC NUCLEAR EXCHANGE	<u>5</u>
OBJECTIVES	<u>6</u>
 Warsaw Pact (WP) objectives in a strategic nuclear 	7
exchange would be to destroy the NATO capability and will to	<u>8</u>
wage war, while defending key control, military, industrial,	9
and population centers particularly those of the USSR. The	10
selection of targets and the choice of weapons would be	11
dependent on the WP presumed desire to secure specific NATO	12
industrial facilities and resources relatively intact.	13
OPERATIONS AGAINST NORTH AMERICA AND EUROPEAN NATO	14
Land-Based Missiles and Aircraft	15
2. Land-based missiles of all types would be used against	16
key targets such as urban/industrial areas, bomber and tanker	17
bases, missile sites, military control centers, governmental	18
control centers, naval bases, and probably nuclear storage	19
centers in North America and European NATO countries. The	20
USSR would seek a high initial salvo capability with these	21
missile systems. Attacks by Long Range Aviation (LRA), Frontal	22
Aviation (FA), and Naval Aviation (SNA) would likely follow	23
initial ballistic missile strikes. LRA bombers would probably	24
have a mission of striking preassigned targets and might also	25
have a mission of assessing the success of missile attacks,	26
striking surviving targets, and providing targeting data for	
residual missiles. Air-to-surface missiles (ASM) would be used	27
against some targets and their stand-off capability would add	28
to the bombers' survivability. WP air forces would employ a	<u>29</u>
	<u>30</u>
combination of high and low altitude penetration techniques.	31

and could be expected to take advantage, within their	1
capabilities, of poor weather, darkness, deception techniques,	2
and electronic countermeasures.	3
Submarine Launched Ballistic Missiles (SLBMs)	4
3. Soviet SLBMs would be primarily targeted against	<u>-</u> 5
North America in the event of general war. As more DELTA	
class ballistic missile nuclear submarines (SSBNs) have become	<u>6</u>
available in recent years, the long transit from Soviet	7
bases required for large scale participation in an initial	8
attack on North America by YANKEE class SSBNs, with their	<u>9</u> 10
shorter-range SLBM, has become less of a constraint on the	11
weight and timing of Soviet SLBM participation in a strategic	12
nuclear exchange. The number of SLBM deployed (or maintained	-
on station) would be influenced by such variable factors as	13 14
requirements for surprise, transit time, the duration of any	15
pre-hostilities period of tension, and the extent of NATO	16
antisubmarine warfare (ASW) surveillance.	
4. Some ballistic missile firing submarines, mainly	17
diesel-powered units, are more suitable for use against	18
Europe. Their targets could include SSBN bases; communication	19
and control centers are other likely targets for initial	20
attack.	21
OPERATIONS AGAINST NATO NAVAL STRIKE FORCES	22
Method of Employment Against Carrier Strike Forces	23
5. In the Atlantic and Pacific, the burden of detecting,	24
tracking, and attacking the NATO carrier strike forces would	<u>25</u>
be borne primarily by the Soviet HF/DF net, submarines, SNA	26
aircraft, and some LRA aircraft. Reconnaissance satellites and	<u>27</u>
intelligence collection ships (AGI) would also assist in this	28
construction ships (AGI) would also assist in this	29

endeavor but would primarily be used for surveillance.

30 <u>31</u>

Surface forces are likely to be deployed in the Norwegian Sea, operating in conjunction with air and submarine elements. In the North Atlantic and Norwegian Sea, the majority of submarines would probably be deployed in a wide band across the approaches to the likely carrier launch areas — although CHARLIE and VICTOR class nuclear powered submarines could deploy independently to intercept the strike forces.



10 11

The

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

<u>8</u> 9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

extent of deployment undertaken would depend on the time available to the Soviets and the need to conceal such movements from NATO detection. If such deployments were achieved, the attacks by submarines — particularly by those armed with missiles — in conjunction with attacks by ASM-equipped BACKFIRE and BADGER aircraft, could pose a considerable threat to NATO carrier forces. ASM-equipped BEAR and BACKFIRE aircraft of LRA would also be a threat in more distant waters.

6. In the Mediterranean, submarines, aircraft, and 22 surface forces could all play a part in integrated anti-23 carrier operations. From the observed operations of the 24 Soviet Mediterranean Squadron (SOVMEDRON), it is believed that 25 surveillance and reconnaissance would be performed by surface 26 combatants, AGIs and any aircraft available in the area at the 27 time, and probably reconnaissance satellites. Some submarine 28 and surface components would seek to be within range of the 29 carrier groups prior to the outbreak of hostilities and thus 30 in position, when ordered, to fire first. Attacks by 31 Black Sea Fleet Air Force ASM-equipped aircraft would be probable.

SECRET US 161/76

IV-2-3

Method of Employment Against NATO Ballistic Missile Submarines	,
7. Because of the widespread area to be searched, Soviet	1
naval forces have little chance of countering the NATO SSBN	2
force at sea. Nonetheless, the Soviets may employ their	3
forces in the following manner in an attempt to counter this	4
threat:	5
a. Submarines, particularly nuclear-powered attack	<u>6</u>
types, could deploy in an attempt to intercept SSBNs when they	7
leave their bases or while they	8
leave their bases or while they are in transit to patrol areas.	9
all Glart Could attempt to detect and destroy	10
submarines in open seas areas.	11
c. Surface ships could be used for anti-SSBN	12
operations in certain focal areas.	<u>13</u>
d. Mines could be placed in SSBN focal areas and	14
harbor entrances.	<u>15</u>
DEFENSE OF WP AGAINST AIR AND MISSILE ATTACK	16
General O	<u>17</u>
8. The present WP air defense system has a formidable	18
capability against aircraft flying at medium and high altitudes,	19
and a limited one against targets 25X5	20
except in heavily defended areas(1). Soviet and NSWP air DIA	21
defense forces in the NSWP countries would be coordinated	22
and controlled by the Soviets. Antiballistic missile (ABM)	23
defense would allow for a limited defense in the Moscow area.	24
Method of Employment	25
9. The WP could probably obtain good warning of air	26
attacks. It would attempt to disrupt NATO air attacks by ECM.	27
The high density of surface-to-air missiles (SAM) and radars	28
(1) See Part II - Section 7.	29
- Σου ται σ II - Section γ.	30
	31

within the NSWP area and the peripheral of the USSR, and the	1
diversity of frequencies they use, reduce the vulnerability of	2
the air defense system to NATO ECM.	3
10. WP air defense forces are intended to provide an	4
in-depth strategic defense. Once detected, penetrating aircraft	<u>5</u>
would face a series of defenses. Interceptors would provide	6
the first line of air defense. Then penetrating aircraft	7
would face SAM barrier defenses and point defenses of important	8
targets. Interceptors would also provide a defense in depth	9
behind SAM barriers as well as point defense of special target	10
complexes outside areas of SAM point defense.	11
	12
	<u>13</u>
	14
	<u>15</u>
	16
	<u>17</u>
	18
	<u>19</u>
	20
	<u>21</u>
	22
	<u>23</u>
	24
	<u>25</u>
	<u>26</u>
	27
	28
	<u>29</u>
	<u>30</u>

31

1 <u>2</u> <u>3</u> 4 <u>5</u> <u>6</u> <u>7</u> 8 9

1 2 3 4 <u>5</u> <u>6</u> <u>7</u> 8 <u>9</u>

SECRET

PART IV	1
SECTION 3	2
ILLUSTRATIVE CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS	3
TO DENY NATO NATIONS FREE USE OF THE SEAS	4
OBJECTIVES	<u>5</u>
1. In any war with NATO, Warsaw Pact (WP) general	6
purpose naval forces would conduct operations aimed at	7
achieving the following major objectives (not in order of	<u>8</u>
priority):	<u> 9</u>
a. Location and destruction of NATO naval forces;	10
b. protection of WP shipping and sea lines of	11
communications;	12
c. establishment of naval supremacy in those	13
maritime areas considered crucial to the security of the WP	14
countries;	15
d. disruption and denial of NATO sea lines of	16
communications; and	17
e. offshore defense and support of ground operations.	18
CONSIDERATIONS AFFECTING OPERATIONS	19
General General	20
2. The Soviets would not willingly undertake hostilities	21
at sea with NATO without being prepared for escalation to	
general war. However, naval incidents of various kinds could	22
occur as accidental or isolated events in peacetime or during	23
periods of tension.	24
3. In a war with NATO the extent to which WP naval forces	<u>25</u>
would undertake operations toward the foregoing objectives	<u>26</u>
depends in general upon:	27
a. The nature and relevance of the conflict to	28
maritime theaters;	<u>29</u>
·	<u>30</u>
	<u>31</u>

h at the second of the second	
b. the circumstances under which the conflict	1
started;	2
c. the strength and disposition of available WP	<u>3</u>
naval forces; and	4
d. the strength and disposition of NATO naval	<u>5</u>
forces.	<u>6</u>
4. If the Soviets were to initiate hostilities	<u>7</u>
deliberately, they probably would seek to maximize the	8
strength and disposition of their predeployed forces at	9
least risk of compromising the element of surprise. If	10
hostilities were to break out suddenly, WP naval forces	11
probably would seek both to ensure the immediate security	12
of their home waters and to initiate operations against NATO	13
naval forces and sea lines of communication. A protracted	14
period of preparation prior to hostilities would be needed	15
to enable the WP countries to maximize the readiness of their	16
forces.	17
Logistic Considerations	18
5. Applicable considerations of logistics and supply	19
are discussed in Part II - Section 5, paragraphs 47 through	20
52.	21
Forces and Weapon Systems	22
6. In the initial stages of hostilities a large proportion	23
of Soviet submarine, naval air, and major surface forces would	24
be concerned primarily with locating and destroying NATO	25
naval forces capable of delivering nuclear strikes. In	26
addition, a number of submarines, naval aircraft, and major	27
surface combatants could be available for allocation to other	28
tasks. Almost all naval forces, including about a third of	29
Soviet Naval Aviation (SNA) aircraft, have a mining capability.	30
A portion of SNA has a free fall bombing capability Soviet	31

SECRET US 161/76

IV-3-2

PART IV - Section 3

1 2 <u>3</u> 4 <u>5</u> <u>6</u>

Long Range Aviation (LRA) and to a lesser degree Frontal	<u>1</u>
Aviation (FA) and Soviet Homeland Air Defense Troops (PVO	2
Strany) would also be used in support of maritime operations	<u>3</u>
to the extent they were available and considered necessary.	4
Antisubmarine Warfare (ASW)	<u>5</u>
7. ASW operations involving surface, submarine, and air	<u>6</u>
forces probably would be mounted in the areas bordering the	<u>7</u>
WP countries in the early stages of hostilities. As required,	<u>8</u>
the Soviets would also initiate ASW operations in the eastern	9
North Atlantic, Norwegian Sea, Mediterranean, western Pacific,	<u>10</u>
and perhaps the Indian Ocean.	11
Mine Warfare	12
8. The WP would probably lay extensive minefields at or	<u>13</u>
before the outbreak of war. For offensive mining to be	14
effective during the initial phase of a war, minefields would	<u>15</u>
need to be laid before hostilities commenced; if such mine-	<u>16</u>
fields were laid, the task probably would be carried out by	<u>17</u>
submarines. Such minefields could be reinforced by air-dropped	18
mines on the outbreak of hostilities. It is difficult to	19
assess what effort would be allocated to mining. If there	20
were to be in an extended period of hostilities, the WP	21
might undertake mining to deny NATO freedom of movement and	22
use of ports. Minelaying by merchant and fishing vessels	23
is also possible in certain areas.	24
OPERATIONS IN THE ATLANTIC, INCLUDING THE BARENTS SEA, THE	25
NORWEGIAN SEA, AND THE APPROACHES TO EUROPE	26
Composition of Forces	27
9. Soviet naval forces available in these areas are those	28
of the Northern Fleet augmented by suitable units able to	29
deploy from the Baltic.(1)	30
72\ 0	31
(1) See Part III - Section 2, Tables N 1 through N 6.	

SECRET US 161/76

IV-3-3

PART IV - Section 3

Methods of Employment	<u>1</u>
10. In addition to those forces concerned primarily	2
with location and destruction of NATO nuclear strike forces,	<u>3</u>
Soviet attack submarines and some cruise missile units	4
probably would be deployed in focal areas and across shipping	<u>5</u>
routes for attacks on NATO warships and merchant ships;	. <u>6</u>
suitable surface forces would be used to defend Soviet	<u>7</u>
coastal sea lanes, to support any ground forces campaign	8
aginst the Scandinavian Peninsula, and to attack NATO	9
surface forces and merchant ships; naval air forces are	10
likely to be used for offensive operations and reconnaissance	11
against ships and shore targets, in ASW, and in electronic	12
warfare roles; amphibious forces would be used to conduct	13
assault operations and support land campaigns. Offshore	14
installations may also be possible targets.	<u>15</u>
11. The capture of bases in Norway could benefit the	16
Northen Fleet forces by making the passage through the	<u>17</u>
Norwegian Sea into the Atlantic more secure, increasing the	18
range of air cover, making further dispersal bases available,	<u>19</u>
and by reducing transit distances to operating areas.	20
OPERATIONS IN THE BALTIC AND ADJACENT WATERS	21
Composition of Forces	22
12. Soviet naval forces in the Baltic, some of which	<u>23</u>
are better suited for operations on the high seas than in	24
this enclosed area, are assessed to exceed the requirements	<u>25</u>
for gaining and maintianing local naval supremacy. There are	<u>26</u>
thus likely to be some deployments to other areas or transfers	<u>27</u>
o another fleet prior to hostilities, if circumstances allow.	28
lowever, the naval forces of the GDR and Poland would be	<u>29</u>
vailable to augment the Baltic Fleet.(1)	<u>30</u>
	31

SECRET US 161/76

IV-3-4

PART IV - Section 3

⁽¹⁾ See Part III - Section 2, Tables N 1 through N 6.

Methods of Employment	
13. Suitable naval and naval air units, supported by	
WP air forces, would be used to neutralize NATO defense	
capabilities. This would be followed by flank support and	-
amphibious assault operations, designed to secure the Baltic	9
approaches in conjunction with other forces. Thus, the WP	9
naval forces would be freed for operations in adjacent waters	
and open oceans. Control of the Baltic approaches is vital to	
subsequent naval operations in this area. Once this control	9
has been established, remaining suitable Baltic Fleet forces	10
could deploy outside the Baltic area.	1
OPERATIONS IN THE MEDITERRANEAN AND BLACK SEA	12
Composition of Forces	<u>13</u>
14. Soviet naval forces in the Black Sea appear to	14
exceed the requirements for maintaining naval supremacy and	15
for conducting amphibious operations in that area, mainly due	16
to the commitment to provide the majority of Soviet surface	17
naval forces in the Mediterranean. The submarine component	18
of the Soviet Mediterranean Squadron (SOVMEDRON) is provided	<u>19</u>
from the Northern Fleet. In addition, the naval forces of	20
Romania and Bulgaria would be availabe to support the Black	21
Sea Fleet.(1) It is expected that the Soviets would build up	22
their forces in the Mediterranean prior to hostilities.(2)	23
Method of Employment	24
15. Suitable naval and naval air units in the Black Sea,	25
supported by WP air forces, would be used to neutralize NATO	26
defense capabilities, in support of land campaigns, to conduct	27
amphibious assaults, to secure the Turkish Straits, and then to	28
establish maritime supremacy in the Aegean, thus freeing WP	29
(1) C - D - C - TT	<u>30</u>
 See Part III - Section 2, Tables N 1 through N 6. The composition and normal operations of SOVMEDRON are discussed in Part II - Section 5, paragraphs 79 and 80. 	31

SECRET US 161/76

naval forces for operations in the Mediterranean. Control	1
of the Black Sea approaches is vital to subsequent naval	<u>2</u>
operations in the whole area. Once this control has been	<u>3</u>
established, ramaining suitable Black Sea Fleet forces	4
could deploy to the Mediterranean.	<u>5</u>
16. Soviet naval forces in the Mediterranean, especially	<u>6</u>
the missile-equipped submarines and surface units, would try	<u>7</u>
to be in position, either prior to the outbreak of hostilities	<u>8</u>
or shortly thereafter, for immediate action, when ordered,	<u>9</u>
against major NATO naval units. Soviet units not in position	10
to contribute to this task would probably attack other NATO	11
naval forces, merchant ships, or key shore installations as the	12
opportunities arose. In addition, SOVMEDRON would strive to	<u>13</u>
assist the WP main effort against NATO's southern flank	14
including southern Anatolia, or in the Balkans.	<u>15</u>
OPERATIONS IN THE PACIFIC AND INDIAN OCEANS	<u>16</u>
Compostition of Forces	<u>17</u>
17. Soviet naval forces normally available in the	18
Pacific and Indian Oceans are those of the Pacific Fleet.(1)	<u>19</u>
Soviet naval deploments to the Indian Ocean are usually	20
made by units of the Pacific Fleet, although ships and	21
submarines from the other fleets in transit to the Pacific	22
occasionally deploy there. With the reopening of the Suez	23
Canal, Soviet options for transfers via this route have	24
increased, but the Soviets will recognize the risk of sudden	<u>25</u>
closure of the Canal.	<u>26</u>
(1) Coo Don't TTI Cooking Till William	27
(1) See Part III - Section, Tables N 1 through N 5.	28
	<u>29</u>
	<u>30</u>
	<u>31</u>

Method of Employment	1
18. Upon the outbreak of hostilities between the WP	2
and NATO, the foremost concern of the Pacific Fleet would	<u>3</u>
be to protect the Soviet coastal areas against air or ground	4
attack. It would also be concerned about any enemy carrier	<u>5</u>
strike forces or missile submarines which might be in	<u>6</u>
position to pose a threat. Little early assistance would	7
likely be available for the European fleets due to concern	8
over China's intentions and US actions. Withdrawal of some	<u>9</u>
SNA units from their primary bases to dispersal airfields,	<u>10</u>
along with sorties of available naval units from the naval	11
bases to off-shore positions, is likely. Out-of-area	12
activity may increase, but would not necessarily be directed	<u>13</u>
toward Chinese waters or the eastern Pacific. Naval Infantry	14
would be employed as required to help secure egress through	<u>15</u>
the Japanese Straits and to secure or retake Soviet coastal	<u>16</u>
or inland waterway areas (to include ports, naval bases,	<u>17</u>
and shipyards) along the Sino-Soviet border in the event of	18
Chinese action. Antishipping activity would be directed	<u>19</u>
against any naval forces attempting to penetrate the Sea of	<u>20</u>
Japan. Those Soviet units deployed to the Indian Ocean would	21
likely attack NATO naval units in the area and attempt to	22
either harass, blockade, or sink NATO merchant shipping,	23
especially oil traffic.	24
	<u>25</u>
	<u>26</u>
	<u>27</u>
	28
	<u>29</u>
	<u>30</u>
	31

PART IV	1
SECTION 4	2
ILLUSTRATIVE CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS AGAINST THE AREA OF	<u>3</u>
ALLIED COMMAND EUROPE	4
INTRODUCTION	<u>5</u>
1. Purpose. The purpose of this Section is to provide	6
illustrations of the sort of operations which might be carried	_
out by the Warsaw Pact (WP) in the area of Allied Command	7 .
Europe (ACE) and adjacent areas, having regard to the Pact's	8
assumed knowledge of NATO dispositions and capabilities, to	9
Pact concepts of operations, to the forces available to it	10
and to NATO in varying circumstances, to the constraints to	11
which the WP is likely to be subject, and to the terrain.	12
	<u>13</u>
2. The Soviets would expect Central Europe to be the	14
decisive theater of general purpose forces' operations in a	<u>15</u>
large-scale NATO-Warsaw Pact conflict. Whether they would	16
launch offensives all along NATO's flanks concurrently with	<u>17</u>
any campaign in Central Europe is uncertain. The WP has the	18
means, described in Annex A of this Section, to conduct	<u>19</u>
offensive operations in Scandinavia and southern Europe while	20
simultaneously carrying out an offensive against the NATO	21
center. Early Pact offensives toward the Turkish Straits and	22
northern Norway are more likely than in the other flank areas	23
such as Italy and the rest of Scandinavia.	24
3. As noted in the introduction to Part IV, these	25
descriptions are not intended to imply predictions. The	26
evidence on which reliable predictions could be based is not	27
available; calculations as to forces and objectives could be	28
wrong; terrain has been considered only in its broadest aspects;	29
and perhaps above all, no account has been taken of the Soviet	30
predilection for surprise. FOR THESE REASONS AMONG OTHERS.	31
THE THE TIME TO A MONG OTHERS.	2 T

<u>1</u> <u>2</u> 3 4 <u>5</u> <u>6</u> <u>7</u> 8 <u>9</u>

IT WOULD BE IMPRUDENT TO REGARD THE CONTENTS OF THIS SECTION	<u>1</u>
AS ANYTHING MORE THAN EXAMPLES OF SOME POSSIBLE WAYS IN WHICH	2
OPERATIONS COULD DEVELOP. The theaters considered are:	3
Western Continental Europe; the Scandinavian Peninsula;	4
Southern Europe and Western Turkey; and Eastern Turkey. There	<u>5</u>
are brief references to Iran and Berlin.	<u>6</u>
4. Contingencies. There can be little doubt that the	7
Warsaw Pact has plans to cover all contingencies such as	8
defense against a NATO attack; a war arising quickly from	9
local clashes, or spreading quickly from other geographical	10
areas; or a Soviet attack mounted in a period of deteriorating	11
relations, after partial or complete mobilization and	12
reinforcement of forward areas. There is extremely little	13
evidence as to any WP preference for a surprise or deliberate	14
attack.	<u>15</u>
5. Options. In this Section, since it is impossible to	16
cover every contingency, only examples approaching the two	<u>17</u>
extremes are considered:	18
a. Option 1. A war in which hostilities commence	19
with little preparation and before forward reinforcement takes	20
place;	21
b. Option 2. A war in which hostilities commence	22
only after the WP preparations are substantially complete.	<u>23</u>
The mobilization status of NATO is not addressed. There are	24
of course intermediate situations, which to a limited extent	<u>25</u>
can be developed by a process of interpolation, but this	<u>26</u>
process has some appreciable dangers, since plans for Option 2	27
are not necessarily mere extensions of plans for Option 1.	28
Such intermediate situations, for example, could permit the	29

WP to mobilize and deploy East European-based forces with no

<u>30</u>

comparable	activ	ity occ	currin	ng i n t	he	Wes	stern Milit	ary			
Districts	(WMD)	of the	USSR	until	it	is	perceived	that	a	war	
is likely.											
Assumption	s										

- 6. In this Section, it is assumed that in both options, 5 the WP would mobilize and would reinforce potential combat 6 areas as soon and as quickly as possible; thus in Option 2 7 reinforcements would arrive before hostilities commence, while 8 in Option 1 they would arrive only in the course of hostilities, 9 but the speed of the Pact buildup would be the same in both 10 cases. It is reasonable however to conclude that, taking 11 military considerations alone, they would prefer to attack at 12 the moment when the balance of forces is most favorable to <u>13</u> them. It is quite impossible to predict this moment, which 14 depends upon WP perceptions and actions, the intelligence 15 gained by NATO, and consequent NATO reactions, as well as on 16 non-military considerations, the dynamics of which are <u>17</u> 18 impossible to portray. These issues are discussed fully in Part I - Section 6. It should be repeated that what follows 19 are illustrations; these are, of course, guided by such basic 20 intelligence as is available but are not intelligence 21 predictions of the actual course of events. 22
- 7. It is assumed that all the campaigns illustrated would
 be carried out as nearly simultaneously as possible. A

 concurrent attack on Iran as well as against NATO is assumed

 to be unlikely, but since the Soviets must provide for the

 contingency of hostilities with Iran, appropriate forces

 have been allotted. For the same reason, no forces normally

 facing China have been considered in the illustrations.
- 8. It is assumed that WP forces generally would be directed against NATO countries closest to their peacetime $\frac{31}{2}$

SECRET US 161/76

IV-4-3

PART IV - Section 4

1 2 3

locations and that mobilized forces which have not reached standard combat effectiveness would not be withheld from commitment on that account. The effect of Allied interdiction on movement and supply has not been considered, nor the possible effect of hostile action by disaffected indigenous elements. Clandestine and subversive operations by the WP are also not considered. Isolated and local acts of aggression are not addressed.

FORCES EMPLOYED

- 9. <u>General</u>. With the exceptions noted in the paragraphs above, all Warsaw Pact Armed Forces could be committed to war against NATO. Sections 2 and 3 of this Part deal with strategic and naval operations, however, so these forces are here considered only insofar as they might contribute to the combat or general purpose forces facing ACE.
- 10. Strategic Forces. In nuclear operations, strikes

 by tactical missile and air units could be supplemented by

 strategic missile strikes against targets of importance to

 theaters and Fronts, such as nuclear delivery systems, air

 defense facilities, headquarters (HQ), and logistic and

 reinforcement facilities, which might be beyond the range or

 capability of tactical weapon systems.
- 11. Air Forces. The bulk of air operations in the 23 combat zones would be provided by Soviet and NSWP tactical 24 air forces.(1) These operations would be initiated by 25 aircraft already within range of most areas of ACE, 26 reinforced by the forward deployment of other Frontal 27 Aviation (FA) aircraft from within the Soviet Union. In 28 addition, aircraft of Soviet Long Range Aviation (LRA) would 29 30 (1) See Part II - Section VI.

SECRET US 161/76 IV-4-4

PART IV - Section 4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

support general purpose forces by executing offensive air	1
operations requiring greater range and bomb-carrying capa-	2
bilities. Units of Soviet Naval Aviation (SNA) could also	3
be employed for maritime and coastal, including amphibious,	4
operations. Most of the foregoing forces are capable of	<u>5</u>
nuclear or nonnuclear operations. Additional electronic	<u>6</u>
warfare support could be provided by Military Transport	7
Aviation (VTA). Because of the speed with which aircraft can	8
deploy, the geographical location of air forces in peacetime	9
is not necessarily a guide to their wartime operational	10
deployments. Air power would be allocated roughly proportion-	11
ately to theater and Front objectives.	12
12. Naval and Amphibious Forces (1) Amphibious assault	13
operations often in conjunction with airborne (ABN) assaults,	14
would be carried out. Naval units, including naval aviation,	15
would be likely to provide support on the sea flanks of	16
ground operations.	<u>17</u>
13. Ground (including Airborne) Forces. Operations	18
would in virtually every case be initiated by forces already	<u>19</u>
in or close to the combat zones. These could be strengthened	20
as soon as possible by additional forces, many of them	21
initially at a lower state of combat effectiveness and sometimes	22
of equipment, from rearward areas. Concepts for the operations	23
of ground forces are set out in Part II - Section 4,	24
paragraphs 24 to 32. The intensity of operations, and to some	25
extent their nature, would be influenced by the forces	<u>26</u>
available at the opening of a conflict, but would not be the	27

(1) Details of Naval Infantry and Assault and Administrative Lift Capabilities are given in Part II - Section 5.

<u>31</u>

28

<u>29</u> <u>30</u>

same in all areas. Strong offensive thrusts in key areas	<u>1</u>
would be balanced by secondary operations in others. Airborne	2
operations, subject to a favorable air situation, could be	<u>3</u>
used in various ways, to extend ground forces' operations	4
beyond the range of heliborne attacks; for intelligence and	<u>5</u>
sabotage activities; and for distant tasks not directly	<u>6</u>
connected with ground operations.(1)	7
14. Assumed Allocation of Ground and Air Forces. The	8
ground and tactical air forces assumed to be employed are set	9
out in tabular form in Annex A to this section. Additional	10
details of the grouping of these forces for both options are	11
provided in the text relating to each campaign. Reinforcements	12
for use against ACE or elsewhere could be drawn from forces	13
in the Kiev, Moscow, Ural, and Volga Military Districts (MDs).	14
In these illustrations, Soviet and indigenous forces in	<u>15</u>
Hungary are assumed to operate against NATO's Southern Region.	<u>16</u>
However, they could be employed to reinforce operations	<u>17</u>
against the NATO Central Region.	18
GENERAL MILITARY OBJECTIVES	<u>19</u>
15. The principal objectives of the WP in campaigns	20
described in this section would be to destroy NATO's will	21
and capability to fight. To this end they would aim in each	22
theater to:	23
a. destroy NATO nuclear delivery means.	24
b. destroy other NATO forces.	<u>25</u>
c. seize strategic areas to further their own or	<u>26</u>
hamper NATO's operations.	27
d. prevent NATO reinforcement.	28
72 Y Garage Poor TT Garage II	29
(1) See also Part II - Section 4, paragraph 71.	<u>30</u>

SECRET US 161/76 IV-4-6

PART IV - Section 4

<u>31</u>

CAMPAIGNS AGAINST WESTERN CONTINENTAL EUROPE	<u>1</u>
16. Concept. These campaigns may be regarded as	2
constituting a single Theater of Military Operations (TVD)	<u>3</u>
stretching from the Baltic to the Austrian Alps. Operations	4
could be initiated by three Fronts; a Northern Front,	<u>5</u>
comprising three Polish armies and the Polish airborne and	<u>6</u>
sea-landing divisions, responsible for operations against	7
Schleswig-Holstein and Denmark, and developing operations	<u>8</u>
westwards on the flank of the Central Front, to the	<u>9</u>
Bremerhaven-Wilhelmshaven area; a Central Front comprising	10
Soviet (GSFG and NGF) and GDR forces responsible for operations	11
into the Federal Republic of Germany developed from the	12
remainder of the GDR; and a Southern Front comprising Soviet	13
(CGF) and Czech forces responsible for operations against the	14
Southern Federal Republic of Germany and possibly Austria	<u>15</u>
developed from Czechoslovakia. The Northern, Central, and	16
Southern Fronts could subsequently aim to exploit across the	<u>17</u>
Rhine to the North Sea, Atlantic and Mediterranean Coasts,	18
but such exploitation phases are not illustrated further in	<u>19</u>
this Section. The organization of WP forces is flexible and	20
operations subsequent to the initial days of a conflict may	21
take several forms. In both options it might well be that	22
a fourth Front, comprising some of the forces initially	23
engaged and some of those arriving from USSR, could at some	24
stage be constituted as the area of operations widened, but	25
in Option 1 at least it is more likely that all early	26
reinforcements would be placed initially under the operational	27
control of the leading Fronts. A Theater Reserve could be	28
constituted from divisions not initially allotted to	29
reinforcing armies. Subject to lift availability, airborne	<u>30</u>
	31

divi	.sion	s from	Western	USSR	could	be	committed	at	any	stage	
of t	he c	ampaigr	ns.								

17. Air Operations. The prime aim of the WP air forces would be to neutralize as quickly as possible NATO's tactical nuclear response capability and to establish air superiority. This would involve widespread attacks on NATO airfields as well as attacks on other nuclear weapons facilities and control centers. It seems likely that for the foreseeable future their overall strategy will remain the same, although there may be some changes in the tactical implementation as more 10 11 new aircraft and weapons enter service. A maximum effort 12 would probably be critical to the success of such an air 13 campaign. In order to achieve a maximum effort consideration 14 would likely be given to reinforcement. However, early 15 movement of reinforcement aircraft could provide warning to 16 NATO. Not all these aircraft could be accommodated in 17 existing shelters. Many would be exposed to severe attrition 18 on the ground. Therefore, in the interests of surprise, and 19 to reduce the risk of attrition, the initial assault in 20 Option 1 may be conducted by aircraft presently based in 21 Eastern Europe as well as those FA and LRA aircraft in the 22 Western USSR which could reach targets within ACE. Aircraft 23 in East Europe could be reinforced by aircraft from rear 24 areas during or immediately following the initial attack. 25 Most of the air operations described are not likely to be 26 carried out other than in daylight and in reasonable weather 27 conditions. 28

18. Forces Available. See Annex A to this Section. 29 Further details of possible groupings are given under each 30 Front below; in addition to the forces set forth in Annex A, 31 units of the Soviet Baltic Fleet and of the Polish and GDR

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

navies, including amphibious units, would support land	<u>1</u>
operations of the Northern Front.	2
Northern Front	3
19. In pursuit of the general objectives stated in	4
paragraph 15, forces of the Northern Front would seek to	<u>5</u>
destroy NATO forces in Schleswig-Holstein and Jutland, with	<u>6</u>
the further objectives of control of the Baltic Sea and exits,	7
assuring passage to the open ocean, and the elimination of	8
Denmark from the war. Airborne and amphibious forces would	9
support the main effort with attacks both on the flanks and	10
in the Danish Islands. Other forces of the Northern Front	11
could be used west of the Elbe to control North Sea ports,	12
and to protect the flanks of the Central Front.	13
20. In Option 1, depending on the preparation time	14
allowed, assault forces could initially consist of four	<u>15</u>
Soviet divisions (2nd Guards Tank Army) and three GDR divisions	16
which are already facing Schleswig-Holstein and the Hamburg	<u>17</u>
area. These forces could be assisted by the Polish airborne	18
and sea-landing divisions whose movements would depend largely	<u>19</u>
on the availability of Soviet transport. Upon arrival of the	20
Polish Front, the Polish 1st (Silesian) and 2nd (Pomeranian)	21
Armies would probably assume first echelon roles, allowing	22
2nd Guards Tank Army and East German divisions to revert to	23
operations on the northern flank of the Central Front. The	24
Polish 3rd (Warsaw) Army would probably become available as	<u>25</u>
a second echelon of the Northern Front within several days.	<u>26</u>
In Option 1, local control of the ground forces might be	27
exercised initially by the Central Front Hq until the Northern	28
Front Hq became operational.	29

21. In Option 2, it would be possible to establish the Polish Front organization in the GDR prior to D-Day. The

SECRET US 161/76 IV-4-9

PART IV - Section 4

30

<u>31</u>

three Polish armies, airborne division, and sea-landing division would conduct broadly similar operations in both options. Reinforcement of the Front would be drawn from the theater reserve, which probably would be formed primarily from units in the Baltic MD. Baltic Fleet Naval Infantry units would also be available to support operations in this area.

1

2

3

4

<u>5</u>

6

7

8

Central Front

- 22. The objective would be the destruction of NATO forces 9
 in the area by penetration of NATO defenses in perhaps two 10
 main zones. Operations could be directed to the crossing of 11
 the Rhine to secure North Sea, Channel, and Atlantic ports and 12
 airfields through which reinforcements might come. GDR 13
 Border Troops and other paramilitary forces could be committed 14
 initially to the reduction of Berlin. 15
- 23. In Option 1, operations could be initiated by GSFG, 16 NGF, and units of the GDR under Soviet control, less those 17 elements initially committed to the Northern Front sector. 18 Major thrusts, dictated largely by terrain factors, could <u> 19</u> develop along the general axes Magdeburg-Hanover and 20 Eisenach-Frankfurt, with holding or flank protection operations 21 in other areas. Of the 21 divisions initially available, most 22 could be committed to the Front first echelon. The remainder 23 would be available to rapidly exploit success or major gaps 24 in NATO defenses. A division of NGF and those elements 25 initially employed in the Northern Front sector could 26 reinforce Central Front operations. Airborne forces would 27 also be available to conduct operations as required. 28
- 24. In Option 2, 28 Soviet and GDR divisions would

 probably constitute the Front organization. A portion of the
 theater reserve, possibly comprising 10-12 Soviet Category A

and B divisions from the Western MDs, could be available to support this Front. In these circumstances, the two main thrusts could develop largely as described above, but the intensity of combat within them, and on the flanks, could be higher.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

<u>17</u>

18

Southern Front

- 25. The initial objective of the Southern Front might be to engage facing NATO forces by two thrusts on the line Karlovy Vary Karlsruhe and Pisek Stuttgart with sufficient intensity to secure flank protection of the Central Front and to prevent any redeployment of NATO forces. The additional threat of an attack by Hungarian-based forces through Austria into the southern FRG cannot be discounted. NATO forces would be engaged all along the Front; at an appropriate stage, forces from Czechoslovakia could intensify their frontal assaults to complement operations of the Central Front. Their further objectives could be the crossing of the Rhine and penetration of France.
- 26. In Option 1, the initial attacks would be carried

 out by forces of the Czech 1st and 4th Armies. Control,

 although perhaps nominally Czech, would be exercised in

 effect by the Soviets. CGF, and available forces from the

 Czech Eastern MD, could constitute the Front second echelon.
- 27. In Option 2, it would be open to the Soviets to 24 initiate hostilities with the forces of CGF and the Czech 25 1st and 4th Armies in the first echelon. The second echelon 26 could initially comprise available forces from the Czechoslovak 27 Eastern MD, but these could readily be augmented by Soviet 28 forces from the theater reserve (primarily the Carpathian MD). <u>29</u> If a fourth Front is introduced, it is conceivable that part 30 of the forces of the Southern Front could be allotted to 1t. 31

28. Air Forces. In all the above illustrations, the	1
term Front is taken to include WP air forces in support as	2
well as those air armies from the WMDs which could be available	<u>3</u>
for operations in advance of the arrival of corresponding	<u>4</u>
ground forces.	
Logistic Considerations	<u>5</u>
29. General. The operations described above envisage	<u>6</u>
the employment of up to 70-80 divisions before it becomes	7
necessary to engage parts of the theater reserves or forces	8
from the Kiev, Moscow, Ural, and Volga MDs. At the opening	9
of hostilities, up to 35 divisions of this force could be	<u>10</u>
actively engaged, and this figure might rise to 45-50, as	11
operations develop and a possible fourth Front enters combat.	12
However, all divisions, once present in the theater, would be	13
consuming POL and other supplies, and even rearward divisions	14
could have limited expenditure of air defence	15
both Options, the consumption of forward stocks by air forces	<u>16</u>
could reach a peak within the first few days, then decline as	17
a result of attrition. The following paragraphs consider	18
successively the forward movement of reinforcements (but not	19
of general reserves) of ground support.	20
of general reserves), of ground support elements of air forces, and of logistic stocks, the arrelation	<u>21</u>
and of logistic stocks; the availability of stocks in the	22
forward area; and the question of stock distribution within the forward area.	23
Forward Movement	24
	<u>25</u>
transportation resources for the forward	<u>26</u>
movement of reinforcements, ground support elements of air	<u>27</u>
forces, and logistic stocks include rail, road, sea, and air.	28
Sealift, however, is quite vulnerable, and except for the	<u>29</u>
Northern Front, would be slow and involve difficult lateral	<u>30</u>

SECRET US 161/76

IV-4-12

movement. The bulk of reinforcement and resupply movement

PART IV - Section 4

31

<u>1</u> 2 <u>3</u> 4 <u>5</u> <u>6</u> 7

moral 1	
must be met by road and rail. The airlift of the VTA could	1
be required for ABN forces, although logistic requirements,	2
such as the movement of nuclear warheads, might have priority.	<u>3</u>
Supplementary airlift could be accomplished by Aeroflot.	4
31. It is expected that units based within 300 kms of	<u>5</u>
their alert locations would deploy by road on wheels and tracks	<u>6</u>
along pre-planned routes. About 47 divisions therefore have	<u>7</u>
the capability for direct movement to initial deployment	8
sites using organic transport. Beyond this distance units may	9
use rail or move wheeled vehicles only by road. Tank trans-	10
porters may be used either to ferry tracked elements to high-	11
capacity rail lines or for the long haul forward delivery of	12
up to four tank divisions. However, railroads are the main	13
means of long distance transportation and the capacity of the	14
seven principal through-routes from the Soviet frontier to	15
the western borders of East Germany and Czechoslovakia is	16
estimated to be over 500,000 metric tons per day. Soviet and	17
WP forces are generally well situated to take advantage of	18
the comprehensive nature of the transportation network. Many	19
units could move on routes other than the main through-lines	20
while others could use only sections. Only reinforcing	21
forces from the Soviet Union are likely to use the full	22
through-routes. A good highway network is also available	23
and adds flexibility to the lines of communication. If	24
highways had to be used for long distance movement, the	25
through-put capacities of the eight major routes is assessed	26
at about 120,000 metric tons per day. It is further estimated	27
that it will take four to five days to convert the CEMA	28
pipeline system west of Brest to carry refined POL products.	29
Thereafter the POL resupply capability into East Germany is	30
estimated at about 70,000 metric tons per day and at about	31
some per day and at about	

45,000 metric tons per day into central Czechoslovakia.
Establishment of this capability would substantially reduce
the demand on road and rail resupply resources. However, it
should be recognized that in practice these theoretical road,
rail, and pipeline tonnages could decrease due to possible
technical failures, the need for maintenance, and the need to
make space for other essential traffic.

- 32. Activities affecting the speed of forward movement include the mobilization time of units, the availability of road and rail capacity and, for units moving by rail, the 10 positioning of rolling stock, movement to rail facilities, 11 loading on trains, transloading at the Soviet frontier and 12 offloading at destinations. Unit and logistic movement would 13 occur at the same time, frequently over the same elements of 14 the network, and would, to some extent, compete for route 15 capacity. A number of operating problems, such as those 16 described in paragraph 31, could also occur when the lines 17 of communication are subjected to a sudden and heavy demand. 18 These impediments would probably not stop the overall 19 transportation system from functioning, but could cause local 20 delays which would increase the number of potential warning 21 indicators. 22
- 33. Under Option 2, a deliberate buildup of about 86 23 divisions, together with full army and Front level support, 24 air elements and logistic stocks, could be moved into 25 deployment locations opposite the NATO Central Region in 26 10-14 days depending on movement priority, stockage levels, 27 and operating conditions. In this illustration the movement of 28 560,000 metric tons of logistic stocks into the theater to 29 achieve operational planning levels and the redistribution of 30 just over 100,000 metric tons from base depots in the theater <u>31</u>

8

to field echelons, were taken into account. It should be	1
noted, however, that these calculations reflect demands placed	<u> 2</u>
on the assessed movement capability of the transportation	<u> 3</u>
network during an operation when speed is essential. The	4
entire process of forward movement, of course, has never	<u>-</u> 5
been rehearsed on a scale approaching that required for war	
and it is uncertain whether the Pact could actually accomplish	<u>6</u>
this operation in the time frame indicated. There is also no	7
way of knowing when the Pact would initiate such a movement or	8
whether it would even seek to carry out a reinforcing	9
operation in the manner described herein. Conversely, the	10
WP does have the capability to undertake some of the required	11
preparations covertly prior to M-Day. Such actions could	12
include collection of rolling stock, induction of key	13
reservists or depreservation of stored equipment.	14
34. Under Option 1 the time frame would be significantly	15
lower depending on the size of the force involved, the degree	16
of surprise intended, the amount of overt preparation	<u>17</u>
	18
permitted, the logistic stock level selected, and the phasing of pre- and post- D-Day activity.	<u>19</u>
Storage Capacity(1)	<u>20</u>
	<u>21</u>
do soviet logistical doctrine,	22
each Front should maintain enough supplies in its depots,	23
and in the mobile stocks in its armies and divisions, for 30	24
days of combat. Altogether, in a theater of military	<u>25</u>
operationswhich might contain several Frontsthe Soviets	<u>26</u>
prescribe stockage of from 2 to 3 months of supplies.	<u>27</u>
Ammunition and POL would make up the bulk of Pact logistical	28
stocks. There is little information on the actual contents	<u>29</u>
of Pact depots in Central Europe, but we have calculated the	<u>30</u>
(1) See Part II - Section 4 and 6 for Ground and Air Force	<u>31</u>
Logistics.	

SECRET US 161/76

Logistics.

IV-4-15

PART IV - Section 4

Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2

theoretical capacities of the identified Pact ground force

SECRET

ammunition and POL depots.	
	2
	<u>3</u>
force ammunition depots within the GDR, Poland, and	4
Czechoslovakia is estimated to be about 1.6 million metric	<u>5</u>
tons. Based on 80 percent capacity, and on an average	<u>6</u>
consumption of 35,000 metric tons per day in the theater,	<u>7</u>
this provides an estimate of over 30 days of combat supplies	8
for the whole force, in addition to stocks on wheels. POL	9
stocks in GDR, Poland, and Czechoslovakia are so large (about	10
4 million metric tons available for military use, based on	11
80 percent of storage) as initially to place no constraint	12
on military operations of a force of the size envisaged.	13
These stocks would suffice for more than 80 days of operations	14
at normal rates for the entire force without counting oil	15
deliveries by pipeline to refineries in the GDR, Poland, and	16
Czechoslovakia. These figures are, at best, a rough	17
approximation of Pact supply status, but they do suggest	18
Pact stocks in Central Europe accord with the doctrinal	19
requirement to stock for 30 days.	20
37. Stock Distribution in the Forward Area. Stocks held	
on wheels in the forward area would be adequate for initial	21
	22
combat consumption. It is estimated that any necessary	23
redistribution of stocks from forward area depots to field	24
depots on the lines of advance can be completed within some	<u>25</u>
8 hours by the transport resources of ready forces, and not	<u>26</u>
nterfere with resupply or reinforcement. Calculations based	27

on consumption rates have tended to show in the past that

road transport available in peacetime forces in the forward

for conventional operations of short duration, but could be

area (for example GSFG) have been adequate for nuclear war or

28

<u>29</u>

<u>30</u>

31

insufficient in other circumstances. However, improvements	1
in Front, army, and divisional transport scales noted	2
throughout 1974 suggest that these constraints are being	3
eased. It would still be necessary however for reinforcing	4
formations to arrive with a full scale of their own logistic	<u>5</u>
transport, whether organic or autokolonna, and allowance for	<u>6</u>
these vehicles, travelling forward loaded, has been made in	7
movement calculations.	8
CAMPAIGNS AGAINST THE SCANDINAVIAN PENINSULA	9
38. General. Campaigns against the Scandinavian	10
Peninsula would probably constitute a TVD with operations	11
being implemented by HQ Leningrad MD and HQ Northern Fleet.	12
Plans would be harmonized with at least the Northern Front	13
command of the Western TVD. WP objectives would be to destroy	14
NATO forces and facilities in Norway, leading to extensions of	<u>15</u>
the Soviet early warning and air defense systems, to the	16
dispersal of Northern Fleet base facilities to convenient	<u>17</u>
Norwegian fiords and to protection of the access routes of	18
the Northern Fleets.	19
39. Forces Available. Details of ground and air forces	20
are provided in tabular form in Annex A to this section.	21
Additional ground and air forces could, if required, be drawn	22
from Baltic MD or what is believed to be a general reserve,	23
at the expense of other compaigns. ABN forces would probably	24
be included and amphibious support would be provided by naval	<u>25</u>
infantry of the Northern Fleet. The fleet itself would	<u>26</u>
provide direct support to operations.	27
<u>Operations</u>	28
40. Operations against Norway could be mounted either	29
into North Norway directly, or into North Norway through	30
Finnish Lapland or even through Sweden into Norway. In	<u>31</u>

SECRET US 161/76

IV-4-17 PART IV - Section 4

Option 1 readily available forces would not be sufficient to	1
mount simultaneous attack against Norway and Sweden.	2
41. In Option 1 the main initial operation could be a	3
land attack through Finnish Lapland as well as across the	4
Norwegian-Soviet border by the two divisions readily available	<u>5</u>
in the area. This operation could be supported by airborne	<u>6</u>
forces seizing key areas ahead of the advancing troops and by	<u>7</u>
amphibious attacks along the coast. Second echelon forces of	8
a further two or three divisions could be drawn from the	<u>9</u>
central or southern portions of the Leningrad MD subject to	<u>10</u>
movement limitations. It would also be open to the Soviets to	11
exert pressure on Finland to permit the passage of forces	12
across her territory. No effective Finnish opposition should	13
be expected in the north, although the Soviet Union might have	14
to employ forces to secure her position in Finland.	15
42. In Option 2, the size of the initial assault could	<u>16</u>
be extended by a further two divisions in addition to	<u>17</u>
employing up to one division and naval infantry on amphibious	18
tasks. These further divisions could be provided by the	<u>19</u>
lower category forces from Leningrad MD. Option 2 could also	20
open to the Soviet Union the possibility of attacking through	21
Sweden, an operation which would require sizeable land, air,	22
and missile forces. It is probably beyond the capacity of	23
Leningrad MD alone to supply the necessary forces. Pressure	24
on Sweden to allow free passage might be exercised. Operations	25
through Sweden are not developed in this document, but some	26
relevant logistic information is given in paragraphs 43 and 45.	27
Logistic Considerations	28
43. Forward Movement. The roads in the north have	<u>29</u>
greatly improved over the last decades. The Soviets are	30
presently building a road from Leningrad to Murmansk. When	31

completed, this highway will increase ground movement	<u>1</u>
capability toward Finland and Norway. Roads in the north	2
are subject to periods of severe adverse climate, such as	<u>3</u>
heavy snowfall and spring thaw, the effect of which varies	4
from occasional closure to restricted movement especially	<u>5</u>
on the secondary roads. In an advance direct from USSR into	<u>6</u>
North Norway about one motorized rifle division (MRD) could	<u>7</u>
be moved per day, if required, and about two divisions could	8
be moved through Finland. In addition, a seaborne force of	9
one division could be landed through ports in Northern Norway.	10
Between Narvik and the Bodo area the movement capability may	11
be reduced to less than a division per day pending reestab-	12
lishment of bridges, ferries, etc. The capacity of the	13
existing rail and road network is adequate to support the	14
deployment of units.	<u>15</u>
44. Resupply. The roads into and through North Norway	<u>16</u>
toward Narvik have a daily resupply capacity estimated at	<u>17</u>
18,000 metric tons. Further south the road between Narvik, and	18
Bodo could also supply about 18,000 metric tons per day,	<u>19</u>
provided that suitable craft are available to utilize ferry	20
crossings. South of Bodo, road and rail could resupply	21
nearly 30,000 metric tons per day, provided that the supplies	22
came by sea through Bodo. The transportation system in this	23
region is capable of conducting resupply of ammunition and	24
POL to meet operational requirements of force deployment.	<u>25</u>
45. Availability of Stocks. Stocks in Leningrad MD	<u>26</u>
are more than adequate to initiate and support operations	<u>27</u>
at the scales indicated above. The stocks are indeed so	28
large (87 days ammunition, 93 days POL) that it is likely	<u>29</u>
that part of them is destined for Central Europe.	30

CAMPAIGNS AGAINST SOUTHERN EURO	JPE AND	WESTERN	TURKET
---------------------------------	---------	---------	--------

- General. These campaigns could represent the major part of a single TVD stretching from the Alps to the Caspian The theater could comprise three, and possibly at a later stage four Fronts, including Soviet, Hungarian, Bulgarian, and Romanian forces.(1) A Danube Front, formed initially of Hungarian and Soviet forces in Hungary, could be responsible for operations through Austria into southern FRG or against Northern Italy, which could also involve the engagement of Austria and/or Yugoslavia or the cooperations of the latter. This Front may also operate into Greece via Yugoslavia. A Balkan Front, formed initially from Bulgarian forces, supported by Soviets, and including Romanian forces, could be responsible for operations against Greece and Turkey; as operations against these two countries diverged, it might be reconstituted into two separate Fronts. A theater reserve could be formed from forces in Odessa MD not initially committed. Soviet forces in the Kiev, Moscow, Ural, and Volga MDs are also considered available for employment in southern Europe. Airborne forces could be committed at any stage of the campaigns, subject to the availability of aircraft. Amphibious forces in the Black Sea could also be involved. Forces Available. Details of the ground and air
- 47. <u>Forces Available</u>. Details of the ground and air forces available for this theater are provided in tabular form in Annex A to this Section, and further details of possible grouping are given under each Front below. In

1

2

3

4

5

<u>6</u>

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

⁽¹⁾ This TVD would probably also include the Caucasus Front oriented against Eastern Turkey and/or Iran. However, for the purposes of this document, details concerning operations in Eastern Turkey and/or Iran are discussed as a separate campaign in paragraphs 60-68.

addition, units of the Soviet Black Sea Fleet and of the Bulgarian and Romanian navies, including amphibious units, could supplement and support operations against Turkey. Some role might initially be played by units of the Soviet Mediterranean Squadron (SOVMEDRON).

Danube Front

- 48. In pursuit of the general objectives stated in paragraph 15, forces of this Front could aim to destroy NATO forces in Northern Italy, Greece, or the southern FRG. Employment of this Front in an offensive role would be dictated largely by the status of Austria and Yugoslavia the outset of hostilities or the willingness of the Soviets to violate neutrality.
- 49. In Option 1, the forces initially available could be one Soviet and one Hungarian army from Hungary, comprising eight divisions and supporting air forces. A second echelon consisting of the remaining Hungarian forces could be available, but at a lower state of combat effectiveness. The capability of such a force would be heavily conditioned by the attitude of Austria and/or Yugoslavia. In the event of total Yugoslav cooperation, the threat to Italy and Greece would increase.
- 50. In Option 2, it would be open to the Soviets to build up forces in Hungary to a level permitting major offensive operations against Italy or Greece if Yugoslav forces cooperated, or operations to "hold" Italian or Greek forces if Yugoslavia were uncooperative. In both cases, forward bases for naval and air operations could be obtained. The level of forces required to carry out such an offensive operation would probably not be less than an additional six to eight Soviet divisions, since in even the most favorable

situation, the Soviets would possibly retain sizeable forces for security within Yugoslavia. Such an addition to the Danube Front could only be achieved with a considerable deployment of forces from outside the area. There could be some limitations on the speed of deployment of a force of this size.

Logistic Considerations

- 51. Forward Movement. There are three road and two rail routes crossing the USSR/Hungarian frontier, with an optimum initial movement capability of five divisions or 190,000 metric tons of resupply per day. The combined use of present roads, and railways would allow the movement of four divisions or 175,000 metric tons of resupply per day through Yugoslavia to Italy. Using main rail lines and roads through Austria, about two divisions or 40,000 metric tons of resupply could be moved daily under the best conditions. Routes do not impose any effective limitation on the resupply of the forces envisaged in the preceding pargaraphs.
- 52. Stocks. Stocks of ammunition and POL currently held within Hungary amount to about 55 and 65 days supply respectively for the forces already within that country. In the event of reinforcement, there are sufficient stocks of ammunition and POL within the country for some 40 to 45 days respectively and additional stocks could be moved forward from the Soviet Union concurrently with the movement of forces.

Balkan Front

53. Operations against Western Turkey and Greece could

be intended to destroy NATO forces within these two countries

and eliminate them from the war. Early objectives could

certainly include the seizure of the Turkish Straits that

SECRET US 161/76 IV-4-22

PART IV - Section 4

1

2

3

4

<u>5</u>

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

<u>16</u>

<u>17</u>

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

control the exit from the Black Sea and selected Aegean Islands, and seizure of a direct outlet to the Aegean coast in Northern Greece. Subsequent objectives might be the rest of Western Turkey and mainland Greece. This could have the effect of completing control of the Aegean Sea including the acquisition of air and naval bases and facilities there.

54. In Option 1, the forces initially available would be six divisions and five tank brigades of the Bulgarian Army, together with the Bulgarian national air force and Soviet air units from Odessa MD. Romanian forces could constitute a second echelon until further Soviet forces became available. Fleet units, amphibious and ABN forces could cooperate in this option. These forces would not be sufficient to launch simultaneous large scale attacks on both Greece and Turkey, and indeed Bulgarian forces alone could not support a sustained major offensive against either country. However, with Soviet support, Bulgaria could develop operatons against Turkish or Greek Thrace. Such operations could open into separate thrusts against the Turkish Straits or against Thessaloniki.

55. In Option 2, it could be expected that significant
Soviet ground and air forces from Odessa MD and Romanian
ground and air forces would have arrived in Bulgaria before
the opening of hostilities. In these circumstances, it
would be open to the Soviet Theater Commander to launch
simultaneous large scale attacks against Greece and Turkey.
The attack on Turkey could be led by Bulgarian forces as
above, with Soviet and some Romanian forces ready to reinforce
them in simultaneous operatons against the Bosporous and
Dardenelles. With the additional time to prepare, amphibious
and possibly ABN operations on the Black Sea coast of Turkish
Thrace and the eastern parts of the Bosporous could be more

<u>15</u>

extensive. Bulgarian forces in the west, supported by some Romanian forces and possibly by Soviet forces, could mount an attack on Greece through the passes of the Rhodope mountains, probably with Thessaloniki as an initial objective. An attack could also be mounted through Yugoslavia. After the seizure of the initial objectives in Greece, operations could be directed towards control of the Aegean Sea area.

56. Granted initial success in these operations, forces facing Greece could be likely to develop their operations into the Greek mainland, while forces attacking Turkey could seek to secure and widen their control of the Black Sea exits in preparation for deeper operations. A feature of the possible operations into Southern Europe and Western Turkey is the extent to which it might be necessary to call upon forces from the interior of the Soviet Union if these operations are to be pursued to a logical conclusion. Such a course would present obvious dangers to the Soviet High Command.

Logistic Considerations

Bulgaria would mainly be restricted by the limited Danube crossing points. The combined rail and road routes could support the initial movement of about two divisions per day or 143,500 metric tons of resupply. Under good conditions, forces already in Bulgaria could move nearly three divisions or 88,000 metric tons of resupply per day into Turkish Thrace, and about three divisions per day or 87,000 metric tons of resupply per day into fresupply per day into Greece. These modest figures may lay the WP open to some risk of defeat in detail. If rail and road routes through Yugoslavia also became available in the Monastir Gap and Vardar Valley, their combined use could add

<u>5</u>

about two divisions or 64,000 metric tons of resupply per day to the threat against Greece, but this is only likely to occur at a later stage.

- Romania are sufficient to support operations for up to 50 days for the force envisaged in Option 2. POL stocks are so large as to place no constraint on military operations of the scale envisaged. Stocks from the Odessa MD could be used to support Soviet forces in operations bordering on the Black Sea.
- 59. Resupply. The resupply requirements of the forces mentioned above are not limited by movement considerations.

 CAMPAIGNS AGAINST EASTERN TURKEY (AND IRAN)
- 60. General. A campaign against Eastern Turkey (and if necessary against Iran) could constitute either a separate Front within the Soviet Southwestern TVD or an additional TVD. Operations against Iran are unlikely to be undertaken voluntarily by the Soviet Union while engaged with NATO but she would be obliged to maintain sufficient forces free of other commitments to conduct at least an aggressive defense. Against Eastern Turkey, The Soviet Union has the option of conducting limited offensive operations designed to prevent redeployment of NATO forces, or to strike into Turkey in order to destroy her forces, secure the southern flank of the WP, and link up with thrusts into Anatolia. In this section the latter course is assumed. ABN forces would be used in either case, and amphibious forces could be used against the Black Sea coast.
- 61. Forces Available. Details of ground and air forces available for this theater are set out in tabular form in Annex A. They comprise those available in the Transcaucaus

<u>5</u>

<u>15</u>

and North Casucasus MDs, and in the case of Iran, the five	<u>1</u>
divisions in the Turkestan MD; ABN forces; and probably	2
elements of the Black Sea Fleet including amphibious elements	3
and naval aviation. In the event of operations against Iran,	4
the Caspian See Flotilla could also be available. Additional	<u>5</u>
forces from the interior of the Soviet Union could be allotted	<u>6</u>
if required.	7
Operations	8
62. In Option 1, the attacking forces could comprise	9
seven divisions in Transcaucasus MD (excluding divisions which	10
could be reserved for Iran). A second echelon could be	11
provided, after some delay, from the low category divisions	12
in Transcaucasus and North Caucasus MDs. These forces could	<u>13</u>
be inadequate to advance deep into Turkey until reinforcements	<u>14</u>
arrive, but they might aim to open the way for follow-up	<u>15</u>
forces to advance along the Black Sea coast road and through	<u>16</u>
Erzerum.	<u>17</u>
63. In Option 2, a higher category division from North	18
Caucasus MD could be added to the first echelon forces; a	<u>19</u>
second echelon could still be constituted from low category	20
divisions. Operations could follow the same course of action,	21
in somewhat greater intensity, but the possibility of	22
immediately exploiting a breakthrough would be enhanced.	23
Logistic considerations (see pargaraph 65) could, however,	24
limit the concentration of Soviet forces.	<u>25</u>
64. In both Options, small, lightly armed forces, could	26
be landed almost at will on the northeastern Turkish coast.	27
Logistic Considerations	28
65. Forward Movement. Along the west coast of the	<u>29</u>

Caucasus there is one rail and one road leading into the

Turkish frontier area. These routes have a combined optimum

<u>30</u>

<u>31</u>

4 1 1 2	
initial movement capability of about one division per day or	<u>1</u>
67,500 metric tons of resupply. In an attack, under good	2
conditions, forces could be moved through border areas at the	3
rates given below, but the movement rate by road may rapidly	4
decrease:	<u>5</u>
a. from Transcaucasus into Eastern Turkey, the	<u>6</u>
movement capability is two and a half divisions per day or	<u>7</u>
42,000 metric tons of resupply;	8
b. from Transcaucasus into Iran, the movement	<u>9</u>
capability is about four to four and half divisions per day	10
or 88,000 metric tons of resupply;	11
c. from Turkestan into Iran, the movement capability	12
is three divisions per day or 34,000 metric tons of resupply;	<u>13</u>
and	14
d. from Trabzon to Erzurum the movement capability	<u>15</u>
is one division per day or 6,700 metric tons of resupply.	<u>16</u>
66. Stocks. Ammunition stocks held in the Transcaucasus,	<u>17</u>
North Caucasus, and Turkestan MDs are sufficient to support	18
operations of the force envisaged in Option 2 for up to 25	<u>19</u>
days. POL stocks are so large as to place no constraint	20
on operations of the scale envisaged.	21
67. Resupply. The resupply of the forces mentioned	22
above is not limited by movement considerations.	23
Further Developments	24
68. If successful in initial operations, the Soviet	25
Union might aim to extend this campaign to reach the	26
Mediterranean near Iskenderun.	27
	28
	29
	<u>30</u>
	31

SUMMARY OF SOVIET AND NSWE GROUND AND TACTICAL AIR FORCES BY

		п	lon		
MISSION	Northern part of NATO's Northern Region	NATO Central Region and Southern part of	NATO's Northern Region	Central or Southern	Region of NATO
RCRAFT (1) HELICOPTERS (11)	70	280 55 20 330	52 41 140	65	40
COMBAT AIRCRAFT (1)	175	740 315 105 975	42 369 290	230	0
CATEGORY	7	0 0 0 7	3 7 0	0	2
DIVISIONS CATEGORY B	2	0 0 1 8 1 8	0 8 0	0	0
CATEGORY	2	20 2 3 3	6 10 7	7	7
NATIONALITY AND LOCATION	SOVIET Northwestern USSR (Leningrad MD)	SOVIET GSFG NGF CGF Western USSR (111)	NSWP GDR Poland Czechoslovakia	SOVIET SGF	NSWP Hungary

FA or NSWP tactical air forces only. Does not include LRA, AVMF, VTA, or national air defense aircraft. Medium and heavy helicopters only. Baltic, Belorussian, and Carpathian MDs.

SECRET US 161/76

ANNEX A
PART IV - Section 4

		DIVISIONS	-	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT(1)	CRAFT(1)	
HATIONALITY AND LOCATION	CATEGORY A	CATEGORY B	CATEGORY C	COMBAT AIRCRAFT	HELICOPTERS (11)	MISSION
SOVIET Mocogn MD	c		2	160	80	Considered Strategic
Kiev MD	0	9	7	80	09	Reserve
Ural MD	0	Н	2	0,	0	
Volga MD	0	0	3	0	0	
Airborne Divisions	7	0	н	0	0	See Text
SOVIET Southwestern USSR (Odessa MD)	0	m	4	235	06	
ansk.						
Bulgaria	5 + 5 Bdes	1	2	155	36	NATO
Romania	7	က	0	80	47	Southern Region
SOVIET						
Southern USSR	Ć	r	o	076	100	
(Transcaucasus MD)	0	.u.	ю (340	067	
(North Caucasus MD)	0	П	. S	0 !	0	
(Turkestan MD)	0		7	155	75	

FA or NSWP tactical air forces only. Does not include LRA, AVMF, VTA, or national air defense aircraft. Medium and heavy helicopters only. $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{E}$

SECRET US 161/76

IV-4-29

ANNEX A
PART IV - Section 4

Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000100090003-2

SECRET

The Control of the Co

ANNEX 1

GLOSSARY

GENERAL TERMINOLOGY

AA Anti-Aircraft

AAA Anti-Aircraft Artillery

AACV Airborne Armored Combat Vehicle

AAICV Armored Amphibious Infantry Combat Vehicle

AAM Air-to-Air Missile

AAMG Anti-Aircraft Machine Gun

ABM Anti-Ballistic Missile

ABN Airborne

ACV Air Cushion Vehicle

ACW Anti-Carrier Warfare

AD Air Defense

ADD Air Defense District

ADP Automatic Processing

ADZ Air Defense Zone

AEM Missile Support Ship

AEROFLOT Soviet Civil Aviation

AFV

Armored Fighting Vehicle

AGI Intelligence Collector (Sometimes, SIGINT Ship)

ΑI Airborne Intercept (Radars)

Aircraft Operational Altitudes

Very High Altitude above 16,000m High Altitude 8000-16,000m 300-8000m

Medium Altitude Low Altitude 100-300m Very Low Altitude below 100m

AMM Anti-Missile Missile

AOB Air Order of Battle

ΑO Naval Oiler

AOR Underway Replenishment Ship

1

APC Armored Personnel Carrier

APVO Aviation of PVO

SECRET US 161/76

Annex 1

SECRET

AR

Repair Ship

Armed Assault

Helicopter

A helicopter with an armament and troop cargo lift capability. Used in con-

junction with heliborne assault operations.

AS

Submarine Tender

ASL

Submarine Tender (small)

ASM

Air-to-Surface Missile

ASR

Submarine Rescue Ship

ASW

Anti-Submarine Warfare

ΑТ

Anti-Tank

ATB

Air Technical Battalion

ATGM

Anti-Tank Guided Missile

AW

All-Weather

AWAC

Airborne Warning and Control

Ballistic Missile

A Missile Without Airfoils

BEPO

Bereitschaftspolizei - Emergency Police

in GDR

BMD

Soviet Airborne Armored Combat

BMP

Soviet Amphibious Armored Infantry

Combat Vehicle

BRDM

Soviet designation for Amphibious

Reconnaissance Vehicle

BTR

Armored Personnel Carrier

BW

Biological Warfare

CBU

Cluster Bomb Unit

 C_3

Command, Control and Communications

CC

Gun-Armed Cruiser

CEMA

Council for Economic Mutual Assistance. An international communist body for coordinating trade and economic planning comprising the following countries, in alphabetical order: Bulgaria, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, GDR, Hungary, Mongolia, Poland, Romania, USSR. Associated country: Yugoslavia. (Also abbreviated as COMECON, CMEA, CAEM (French), RGW (German), and SEV (Soviet).

SECRET US 161/76

SECRET

CEP Circular Error Probable. A measure of

the accuracy of a missile/projectile, used as a factor in determining probable damage to a target. It is the radius of a circle within which half of the missile/

projectiles are expected to fall.

CLLight Cruiser

CGF Central Group of Forces (Soviet Forces

in Czechoslovakia).

Chaff The general name applied to radar

confusion reflectors, normally of thin,

narrow metallic strips of various lengths and frequency responses to

generate echoes.

CHG Helicopter Ship (SAM armament).

CLCP Guided Missile Cruiser (SAM armament)

with Command Facilities.

CLG Missile Cruiser (SAM armament).

CLGM Missile Light Cruiser (SSM and SAM

armament).

Clear Air A fighter which requires visual Mass Fighter acquisition of the target in order

to conduct its attack.

Combat Aircraft An aircraft used in operations against the enemy directly or indirectly but

excluding transport aircraft.

Combat Effectiveness The ability of a unit to accomplish

its mission in combat.

Common User Equipment Items of equipment common to military

and civilian use.

Composite Layers of metallic or non-metallic Materials

materials bonded together. COMSAT

Communications Satellite.

Counterair Air operations, both air-to-air and air-to-ground, conducted to attain

and maintain air superiority. Both air offensive and air defensive actions are involved. (The former range throughout enemy territory and are generally conducted at the initiation of friendly forces. latter are conducted near to or over

friendly territory and are generally reactive to the initiative of enemy

air forces.)

Cruise Missile A flat-trajectory aerodynamic guided

missile.

SECRET US 161/76

Annex 1

3

SECRET

CVSG

Aircraft Carrier, whose primary mission is to engage in sustained ASW operations and escort convoys. Also, provides close air support for amphibious assault and to ground forces. Equipped with surface-to-air missiles with a range of over 10 miles.

CW

Chemical Warfare.

DD

Gun Armed Destroyer.

DDG

Missile Destroyer (SAM armament only).

DDGM

Missile Destroyer (SSM and SAM armament).

DDGS

Missile Destroyer (SSM armament only).

DDGSP

Missile Destroyer (SSM and point

defense SAM armament).

DE

Destroyer Escort.

Designation

Laser

Illumination of a target by a laser beam whose reflected energy may be used by a

homing weapon.

DICBM

Depressed Trajectory ICBM. An ICBM travelling on a trajectory lower than the normal minimum energy trajectory.

DLG

Destroyer, Large (SAM armament only).

DLGM

Destroyer, Large (SSM and SAM armament).

DOSAAF

All-Union Voluntary Association for Cooperation with the Army, Aviation,

and Fleet.

DWT

Deadweight Tons.

ECCM

Electronic Counter-Countermeasures.

ECM

Electronic Countermeasures.

EEC

European Economic Community.

Electro-optics

Field of study concerning devices such as image intensifiers, infrared devices and lasers which employ a combination of electronic and optical principle.

Electronic Warfare

That division of the military use of electronics involving actions taken to prevent or reduce an enemy's effective use of radiated electro-magnetic energy and actions taken to insure our own effective use of radiated electro-

magnetic energy.

SECRET US 161/76

SECRET

ELINT

Electronic Intelligence

Endo-Atmospheric

Intercept

Intercept of one missile by another at an altitude where the atmosphere has an effect on the terminal phase of the intercept.

ESM

Electronic Warfare Support Measures

EW

Early Warning

Exo-Atmospheric

Intercept

Intercept of one missile by another at an altitude where the atmosphere has little or no effect on the terminal phase of the intercept.

FΑ

Frontal Aviation

Fluorescent Antibody

A technique for the rapid identification of BW agents. The agents combine with specific substances (antibodies) which are obtained with fluorescent dye and are therefore readily detected under a microscope.

FOBS

Fractional Orbital Bombardment System

Frequency Diversity

The use of several radars operating against the same target at the same time to minimize countermeasures and mutual interference.

FRG

Federal Republic of Germany

FROG

Free Rocket Over Ground

Front Divisional

Slice

A division and its proportional share of Army and Front troops of all sorts. For further details see MC 200.

Fuel Cell

Device which transforms chemical energy directly into electrical energy.

GATT

General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade

GBK

Coastal Border Brigade (in GDR Navy)

General Purpose

Forces

For definition see 'Soviet General Forces'

GCA

Ground Controlled Approach (radar)

GCI

Ground Controlled Intercept (radar)

CDR

German Democratic Republic

General War

Unrestricted conflict between the

Warsaw Pact and NATO

GHZ

Gigahertz (109 Hertz)

5

SECRET US 161/76

SECRET

GM

Guided Missile. A missile directed to its target while in flight or motion.

GNP

Gross National Product. The total value of goods and services produced per year, including depreciation.

GOSPLAN

The State Planning Committee of the USSR

Ground Attack

Any air weapon delivery against surface targets -- normally performed by air-

craft of Frontal Aviation.

GRP

Glass Reinforced Plastic

GRT

Gross Registered Tons

GSFG

Group of Soviet Forces Germany

GTT

Soviet Tracked Oversnow Vehicle

HE

High Explosive

Helicopter Gunship

A helicopter performing as a ground attack aircraft with a permanent armament capability and no troop lift capability.

HF

High Frequency. (Frequencies in the bank 3-30 MHz)

Hypersonic Aircraft

Generally, those aircraft capable of air speeds of Mach 3.5-5.5 and above.

ICBM

Intercontinental Ballistic Missile

IDF

Interceptor Day Fighter (Clear Air Mass

Fighter)

IFF

Identification Friend or Foe. A system of radio interrogation and reply generally used in connection with radar for identifying an aircraft, ship or craft.

IMF

International Monetary Fund

IR

Infrared

IRBM

Intermediate Range Ballistic Missile

KGB

Soviet designation for Committee of State Security

kt

Kiloton (equivalent in explosive power to one thousand tons of TNT)

LACV

Landing Air Cushion Vehicle

Laser

Device to generate a beam of coherent

radiation

SECRET US 161/76

SECRET

LCM

Landing Craft, Mechanized

LCP

Landing Craft, Personnel

LCU

Landing Craft, Utility

LCVP

Amphibious Craft (small)

LF

Low Frequency (frequencies in the

band 30-3000 KHz)

Limited Aggression*

Any armed attack against NATO forces or territory, or actions at sea or in the air, under conditions of self imposed military restraint in which it appears that an armed attack imperils neither the survival of nation(s) nor the integrity of military forces as indicated in a. and b. of Major Aggression. Restraints include voluntary restriction on the objective sought, the areas involved and on the weapons and forces used by the enemy. Limited aggression is considered to include overt incursions and hostile local actions as defined in MC 14/3.

Limited War

Any international armed conflict which

is not General War

LORO

Lob-on-Receive-Only, a passive scan

technique used as an ECCM

LRA

Long Range Aviation

LSM

Medium Landing Ship

LST

Tank Landing Ship

Mach Number

A number representing speed as a ratio relative to the speed of sound in the surrounding atmosphere.

Major Aggression*

Any nuclear or non-nuclear armed attack against NATO forces or territory, or actions at sea or in the air, in which it has been clearly determined that the aim and scope of an armed attack are such as to imperil, directly, either:

- One or more NATO countries, to the extent that survival as free and independent nation(s) is immediately at stake, or
- The integrity of military forces, to the effective accomplishment of NATO strategic objectives are immediately subject to unacceptable deterioration.

SECRET US 161/76

7

^{*} See Annex A to MC 14/3, dated 16 January 1968.

SECRET

MBFR Mutual and Balanced Force Reductions

MCM Mine Countermeasures

MD Military District

MF Medium Frequency (frequency in the band 300 KHz to 3 MHz)

MHC Coastal Minehunter

MHz Megahertz

Microwave A radio communications system employing Link

wave lengths of less than one meter (usually high directional and confined

to line-of-sight distances).

MIRV Multiple Independently Targetable

Re-entry Vehicle

MOB Main Operating Base(s)

Mod Modification

MOD Ministry of Defense

Monovalent Sepcific against a particular disease

Vaccine

MPD Main Political Directorate

MPO Soviet designation for Maritime

Frontier Guard

MRBM Medium Range Ballistic Missile

MRD Motorized Rifle Division

MRV Multiple Re-entry Vehicle

MSC Coastal minesweeper

MSF Fleet Minesweeper

MSM Medium Minesweeper

Μt Megaton (Equivalent in explosive power

to one million tons or TNT)

MVD Ministry of Internal Affairs (USSR)

NBC Nuclear Biological and Chemical

NGF Northern Group of Forces (Soviet Forces

in Poland)

NIS Soviet designation for the Soviet

Navy's Observation and Communication

Service

NRE Non-Rotating Earth (used, e.g., as a

8

reference for standardizing the description of missile ranges)

SECRET US 161/76

SECRET

NSR Northern Sea Route

NSWP Non-Soviet Warsaw Pact

OB Ground Forces Order of Battle

OOB Naval Order of Battle

Operational An aircraft which can be used for a Aircraft military role in offense, defense, or

support thereof.

OT Territorial Defense in Poland

PBH Hydrofoil Patrol Boat

PBV Post Boost Vehicle

PCE Coastal Escort, Large Subchaser

(500-1000 tons)

PCEP Patrol Escort, Point Defense

PCH Hydrofoil Submarine Chaser

PCS Small Submarine Chaser

Penetration Devices such as decoys or chaff which

are used to facilitate the penetration

of defenses.

PGGP Patrol Guided Missile Boat (SSM and SAM

armament)

PGM Motor Gunboat

Phased Array A type of radar aerial in which scanning

is achieved by changing the phase of the signal fed to the antenna by electronic means instead of by mechanical means.

POL Petrol, Oil and Lubricants

Polyvalent Those having a simultaneous capability

Vaccines against several diseases

PT Motor Torpedo Boat

PTF Fast Patrol Boat

PTH Hydrofoil Motor Torpedo Boat

PTFG Large Guided Missile Boat

Pulse A radar pulse modulation technique

which achieves some resolution

advantages of using shorter pulses.

PVO Soviet designation for Air Defense

SECRET US 161/76

Compression

Annex 1

9

SECRET

PVO Strany Soviet designation for Air Defense of

the Homeland

PVO-Voysk Soviet designation for Air Defense of

Theater Forces

Re-entry The path followed by a body re-entering

Profile the earth's atmosphere

R&D Research and Development

Repeater A receiver-transmitter device which Jammer when triggered by enemy electronic

radiations, returns synchronized impulses to the enemy equipment for purposes of deception of jamming.

RSFSR Russian Soviet Federated Socialistic

Republic

RT Voice Transmission

RPV Remotely Piloted Vehicle

RV Re-entry Vehicle. The payload and

equipment which return to earth

through the atmosphere

SALT SAL(T) Strategic Arms Limitation (Talks)

SAM Surface-to-air missile

Secondary Radar A radar system in which the aircraft or System

ships under surveillance carry trans-ponders which are activated by signals from interrogating radars. The signals from the transponders may be coded.

Semi-Conductors Materials with Special Electrical Properties SGF

Southern Group of Forces (Soviet Forces in

Hungary)

SHE Super High Frequency

SIGINT Signal Intelligence (electronic and

communications). See also ELINT

SLAR Sidelooking Airborne Radar. An airborne

radar, viewing at right angles to the axis to the vehicle, which produces a presentation of terrain or moving targets.

SLBM Submarine Launched Ballistic Missile

10

SLD Sea Landing Division

SLOC Sea Lines of Communication

SNA Soviet Naval Aviation

SECRET US 161/76

SECRET

Software

The programs which translate human instructions into forms which can be understood and acted upon by computers.

Soviet General Purposes Forces

Include:

- Theater forces, i.e., ground combat and tactical air forces plus their associated command, support and service elements up through the level of military districts and groups of forces;
- b. Naval general purpose forces, i.e., naval forces subordinate to fleets and separate flotillas, including naval air forces, but excluding strategic attack missile submarine forces; and
- c. Military airlift and sealift elements. In addition, Soviet command and service elements providing general support to all components of the Soviet military establishment are considered where appropriate.

SOVINDRON

Soviet Indian Ocean Squadron

SOVMEDRON

Soviet Mediterranean Squadron

SRF

Strategic Rocket Forces

SS

Diesel-Powered Torpedo Attack Submarine

SSB

Diesel-Powered Ballistic Missile

Submarine

SSBN

Nuclear-Powered Ballistic Missile Submarine

SSG

Diesel-Powered Cruise Missile Submarine

SSGN

Nuclear-Powered Cruise Missile Submarine

SSM

Surface-to-Surface Missile

SSN

Nuclear-Powered Attack Submarine

S&T

Science and Technology

STOL

Short Take-Off and Landing

TAA .

Tactical Air Army

TASM

Tactical Air-to-Surface Missile

TEL

Transporter-Erector Launcher

Terrain Following Radar

A radar that enables aircraft to fly at a constant altitude above the ground contours.

SECRET US 161/76

11

SECRET

Theater Forces See 'Soviet General Purpose Forces'

TV Theater of War (Soviet designation)

TVD Theater of Military Operations

UHF Ultra High Frequency (frequencies in

the band 300-3,000 MHz)

VG Variable Geometry. A term referring to an aircraft which is capable of altering

the sweep of the wings while in flight.

VDS Variable Depth Sonar

VHF Very High Frequency (frequencies in the

band 30-300 MHz)

VLF Very Low Frequency (frequencies in the band 3-30 $\ensuremath{\text{KHz}})$

VOPO Volkspolizei - Peoples' Police in GDR

VOR VHF Omi-Range

V/STOL Vertical/Short Take-Off and Landing

VTA Soviet designation for Military

Transport Aviation

VTOL Vertical Take-off and Landing

VVS Air Forces (USSR)

WOP Maritime Frontier Guard (Polish Navy)

WP Warsaw Pact

SECRET

REGIONAL TERMINOLOGY

Political Regional Definitions

The communist world consists of the following:

USSR Communist China

Hungary Mongolia

Poland Romania

Albania Bulgaria

North Korea North Vietnam

German Democratic Republic (GDR) Yugoslavia

Cuba

Czechoslovakia

The Soviet Bloc is defined as consisting of the following members of the Warsaw Pact:

USSR

Poland

Bulgaria

Romania

Czechoslovakia

GDR

Hungary

For a fuller discussion of the political alignment of Albania, Cuba and Yugoslavia, see Part I, Section 1.

Geographical Regional Definitions

Europe:

All European countries on the continent from the Atlantic to the Ural Mountains.

Eurasia:

Europe and Soviet Asia.

North America:

United States and Canada.

Nordic Area:

Denmark

Norway Sweden

Finland Iceland

Western Continental Europe:

Austria

France

Luxembourg Netherlands

Belgium Denmark

Federal Republic of Germany

Switzerland

Western Insular Europe: British Isles and Eire

Scandinavian Peninsula:

Norway and Sweden

Iberian Peninsula:

Gibraltar, Portugal and Spain

Southern Europe:

Greece, Italy, Turkish Thrace

and Yugoslavia

SECRET

Eastern Europe:

cratic Republic

German Demo-

Albania

Bulgaria

Romania

Hungary

Czechoslovakia

Poland Yugoslavia

South Eastern Europe:

Albania

Romania Turkey

Bulgaria Greece

Yugoslavia

Middle East:

Cyprus Iran

Israel

Jordan

Iraq

Lebanon

Egypt Saudi Arabia Syria

Turkey

Far East and Southeast Asia:

Bhutan Burma

Formosa

India

Hong Kong

Indonesia

Japan Laos

Cambodia Ceylon

Communist China

Macao Malaysia

Mongolia

Nepal

North Korea

South Korea North Veitnam

South Vietnam Pakistan Philippines

Sikkim

Soviet Territory in

the Far East SW Pacific Islands

Thailand Tibet

North Africa:

Algeria Morocco

Egypt Tunisia Libya

SECRET

ANNEX 2			1
DESIGNATION OF CURRENT SOVIET AIRCRAFT			2
FIGHTERS			3
Fixed Wing			<u>4</u>
Single Jet	FAGOT	MIG-15	<u>5</u>
	FISHBED	MIG-21	<u>6</u>
	FISHPOT B/C	SU-9(U)/SU-11(S)	<u>7</u>
	FITTER A	SU-7	8
	FRESCO	MIG-17	<u>9</u>
Twin Jet	FARMER	MIG-19	10
	FIDDLER	TU-128(S)	11
	FIREBAR	YAK-28P	12
	FLAGON	SU-15 (C)	13
	FLASHLIGHT	YAK-25	14
	FOXBAT	MIG-25	<u>15</u>
Variable Geometry Wing	(VG)		<u>16</u>
	FITTER B/C	SU-17(C)/Unknown	<u>17</u>
	FLOGGER	MIG-23	18
	FENCER	SU-19 (C)	<u>19</u>
BOMBERS			20
Fixed Wing			21
Twin Jet	BEAGLE	IL-28	22
	BREWER	YAK-28	23
	BADGER	TU-16	24
	BLINDER	TU-22	<u>25</u>
Four Turboprop	BEAR	TU-95	26
Four Jet	BISON	M-4	<u>27</u>
Variable Geometry Wing	(VG)		28
	BACKFIRE	TU-Unknown	29
			<u>30</u>
			<u>31</u>

SECRET US 161/76

1

SECRET

	ANNEX 2		1
DESIGNATION OF CURRENT SOVIET AIRCRAFT			2
FIGHTERS			3
Fixed Wing			4
Single Jet	FAGOT	MIG-15	<u>5</u>
i 	FISHBED	MIG-21	<u>6</u>
	FISHPOT B/C	SU-9(U)/SU-11(S)	<u>7</u>
	FITTER A	SU-7	8
	FRESCO	MIG-17	<u>9</u>
Twin Jet	FARMER	MIG-19	10
i !	FIDDLER	TU-128(S)	11
	FIREBAR	YAK-28P	12
	FLAGON	SU-15(C)	<u>13</u>
	FLASHLIGHT	YAK-25	14
	FOXBAT	MIG-25	<u>15</u>
Variable Geometry Wing	(VG)		<u>16</u>
	FITTER B/C	SU-17(C)/Unknown	<u>17</u>
	FLOGGER	MIG-23	18
'	FENCER	SU-19 (C)	<u>19</u>
BOMBERS			<u>20</u>
Fixed Wing			<u>21</u>
Twin Jet	BEAGLE	IL-28	22
	BREWER	YAK-28	<u>23</u>
	BADGER	TU-16	24
	BLINDER	TU-22	<u>25</u>
Four Turboprop	BEAR	TU-95	<u>26</u>
Four Jet	BISON	M-4	<u>27</u>
Variable Geometry Wing	(VG)		28
	BACKFIRE	TU-Unknown	<u>29</u>
			<u>30</u>
			31

SECRET US 161/76

1

SECRET

COMBAT TRAINERS			<u>1</u>
Single Jet	MAIDEN	USU-9	2
	MAYA	L-29	<u>3</u>
	MONGOL	UMIG-21	<u>4</u>
	MOUJIK	usu-7	<u>5</u>
	MIDGET	UMIG-15	<u>6</u>
	ISKRA(1)	TS-11(1) L-39(1)	7
Twin Jet	MASCOT	UIL-28	8
	MAESTRO	UYAK-28	9
	MAGNUM	YAK-30	10
mpay/dp opm/d	MANTIS	YAK-32	11
TRANSPORTS			12
Criteria(2)	David and an law (000 1	13
	Payload under 6		14
Medium Transport	Payload 6,800 t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15
	and a combat ra	adius of at	16
	least 1,100 km.	•	<u>17</u>
Heavy Transport	Payload over 21	1,000 kg and a	18
	combat radius o	of at least	<u>19</u>
	2,200 km.		20
Light(3)			21
Twin Reciprocating	CAB	LI-2	22
	COACH	IL-12	23
	CRATE	IL-14	24
73.			<u>25</u>
 These aircraft have not been given designations by the Air Standardization Coordinating Committee. ISKRA is the indigenous designation. These criteria are based on most economical fuel loads. A large number of small transports are used for liaison and light cargo duties but are not considered in AOBs. These aircraft include the CLOD (AN-14), COIT (AN-2), and CREEK (YAK-12). 			<u>26</u>
			27
			28
			29
			30
			31

SECRET US 161/76

SECRET

Twin Turboprop	COKE	AN-24	1
	CURL	AN-26	2
	CASH	AN-28	<u>3</u>
	CLANK	AN-30	4
	CUFF	BE-30	<u>5</u>
Twin Jet	COOKPOT	TU-124	<u>6</u>
Three Jet	CODLING	YAK-40	7
Medium			8
Twin Turboprop	CAMP	AN-8	9_
Twin Jet	CAMEL	TU-104	<u>10</u>
	CRUSTY	TU-134	11
Three Jet	CARELESS	TU-154	12
Four Turboprop	CAT	AN-10	<u>13</u>
	COOT	IL-18	14
	CUB	AN-12	<u>15</u>
Heavy			<u>16</u>
Four Turboprop	CLEAT	TU-114	<u>17</u>
	COCK	AN-22	18
Four Jet	CLASSIC	IL-62	<u>19</u>
	CANDID	IL-76	20
	CHARGER	TU-144	21
HELICOPTERS			22
Light			23
Single Reciprocating	HARE	MI-1	24
Twin Reciprocating	HEN	KA-15	25
	HOG	KA-18	26
	HOODLUM	KA-26	27
Twin Turboshaft	HOPLITE	MI-2	28
			29
			30
			<u>31</u>

SECRET US 161/76

SECRET

Medium			<u>1</u>
Single Reciprocating	HOUND	MI-4	2
Twin Turboshaft	HIP	MI-8	<u>3</u>
	HORMONE	KA-25	4
	HIND	MI-24	<u>5</u>
Heavy			<u>6</u>
Twin Turboshaft	HARKE	MI-10	7
	ноок	MI-6	8
MISCELLANEOUS			<u>9</u>
ASW			10
Twin Reciprocating/Amphibian	MADGE	BE-6	11
Twin Turboprop/Amphibian	MAIL	BE-12	12
Four Turboprop	MAY	IL-38	13
	BEAR F	TU-95 (modified)	14
AWAC			<u>15</u>
Four Turboprop	MOSS	TU- (unknown)	<u>16</u>
Reconnaissance			<u>17</u>
Twin Jet	MANDRAKE (1)	YAK-27RV(S)	18
	MANGROVE	YAK-27R	<u>19</u>
PROTOTYPES			20
Fighter			<u>21</u>
V/STOL			22
Jet	Undesignate	d Unknown	<u>23</u>
Helicopter			<u>24</u>
Heavy Four Turboshaft			<u>25</u>
Twin Rotor	HOMER	MI-12	<u>26</u>
•			27
	tament singra	£ +	28
(1) Primarily a high-altitude	target aircra	.L.C.	<u>29</u>
			30
			<u>31</u>

SECRET US 161/76

ANNEX 3 SUBMARINE RANGE CATEGORIES AND ENDURANCE

- 1. For convenience, submarines are arbitrarily categorized for range according to their endurance capability.
- 2. The following tables show Warsaw Pact and Yugoslav submarines, divided into these categories.

RAN	GE CATEGORIES	CLASS	MAX. OPERATIONAL ENDURANCE
<u>a</u> .	Warsaw Pact Units		
	Long	All nuclear classes	90 days (i)
	(over 10,000 nm)	GOLF	75 days
		FOXTROT	75 days
		JULIETT	75 days
		TANGO	75 days
		ZULU	75 days
	Medium (5,000-10,000 nm)	BRAVO	50 days
		ROMEO	50 days
		WHISKEY	50 days
	Short (under 5,000 nm)	QUEBEC	30 days
<u>b</u> .	Yugoslav Units		
	Short (under 5,000 nm)	HEROJ	30 days
		SUTJESKA	30 days

⁽i) Limited only by crew endurance and availability of consumables.